arXiv:0905.1992v2 [math.CO] 25 Nov 2009

Jucys-Murphy Elements and Unitary Matrix Integrals

Sho MATSUMOTO
Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University.
Furocho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, JAPAN.
sho-matsumoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp
and
Jonathan NOVAK
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queen's University.
Kingston, Ontario, CANADA.
jnovak@mast.queensu.ca
http://www.mast.queensu.ca/~jnovak

The interplay of the permutation groups with large N deserves more consideration and might uncover a deeper relation.

S. Samuel, 1980

Abstract

We show that many important properties of unitary matrix integrals, such as $1/N$ expansion, character expansion, and in some cases even explicit formulas, are rooted in properties of the Jucys-Murphy elements. The class of integrals to which our results apply are the correlation functions of elements of Haar-distributed random unitary matrices. In the course of our study we obtain various results on the conjugacy class expansion of symmetric functions in Jucys-Murphy elements, a topic of interest in algebraic combinatorics.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Correlation functions of matrix elements 2
1.2 History of the problem 4
1.3 $1/N$ expansion 4
1.4 Jucys-Murphy elements 5
1.5 The class expansion problem 6

2 Notation and terminology **7**
2.1 General notation 7
2.2 Partitions 7

2.3 Symmetric group, group algebra, class algebra 8
2.4 Symmetric functions 9

3 Integration formula and Jucys' theorem 10
3.1 Integration formula 10
3.2 Jucys' theorem 12
3.3 $1/N$ expansion 15

4 Class coefficients and connection coefficients 15
4.1 Connection coefficients 15
4.2 Class coefficients 16

5 Top class coefficients and first order asymptotics 18
5.1 Explicit formula for top class coefficients 18
5.2 First order asymptotics of correlation functions 20
5.3 Technical lemmas 22
5.4 Expressions for cycles 23
5.5 Proof of Theorem 5.3 25
5.6 An analogue of Macodonald's result for top connection coefficients 27

6 Non-top class coefficients and sub-leading asymptotics 28
6.1 Eigenvalues of Jucys-Murphy elements 28
6.2 Application: Plancherel averages 30
6.3 Character expansion and exact formulas 30
6.4 The algebra Λ^* 36

7 Acknowledgements 38

8 Appendix A: Examples 38
8.1 A.1: Class expansion of $m_\lambda(\Xi_n)$ for $|\lambda| \leq 4$. 38
8.2 A.2: Tables of L_μ^λ 39

1 Introduction

1.1 Correlation functions of matrix elements

Starting with an $N \times N$ matrix X_N whose entries x_{ij} are independent and identically distributed complex Gaussian random variables, with mean 0 and variance $1/N$, one may construct new random matrices which have additional symmetry. The random Hermitian matrix $H_N = \frac{1}{2}(X_N + X_N^*)$ belongs to the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE), while the random unitary matrix U_N obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure to the columns of X_N belongs to the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE). The distribution of H_N has density proportional to $e^{-\frac{N}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(H^2)}dH$, where dH is Lebesgue measure on the N^2-dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{H}(N)$ of Hermitian matrices, while the distribution dU of U_N is a scalar multiple of Haar probability measure on the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(N)$.

The GUE and CUE are two of the principal ensembles of random matrix theory [34]. In many situations, and for both ensembles, the need arises to compute *correlation functions* of matrix elements. These are averages of the form

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} h_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{h_{i'(k)j'(k)}} \right\rangle_N = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{H}(N)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} h_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{h_{i'(k)j'(k)}} e^{-\frac{N}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(H^2)} dH}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{H}(N)} e^{-\frac{N}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(H^2)} dH} \tag{1.1}$$

for the GUE and

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{u_{i'(k)j'(k)}} \right\rangle_N = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{u_{i'(k)j'(k)}} dU}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} 1 dU}. \tag{1.2}$$

for the CUE, where in both cases $i, j, i', j' \in [N]^{[n]}$ are given indices. It is straightforward to show that for both ensembles the first two correlators, mean and covariance, are given by[1]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{ij} \rangle_N &= \langle u_{ij} \rangle_N = 0 \\ \langle h_{ij}\overline{h_{i'j'}} \rangle_N &= \langle u_{ij}\overline{u_{i'j'}} \rangle_N = \frac{[i=i'][j=j']}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the GUE, knowledge of the mean and covariance suffice to determine all correlation functions (1.1). This is by virtue of the familiar Wick formula, which decomposes the correlation of any n-tuple of centred Gaussians $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ into a sum of products of covariances:

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} G_k \right\rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S^{(2)}(n)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \langle G_k G_{\pi(k)} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the sum runs over the set $S^{(2)}(n)$ of fixed point free involutions in the symmetric group $S(n)$. The combinatorics of computing correlation functions of GUE matrix elements using the Wick formula may be organized diagramatically, and this leads to remarkable connections with the combinatorics of maps on surfaces, as surveyed for instance by Zvonkin [55]. A particularly striking and frequently cited example of this phenomenon is the famous result of Harer and Zagier [27] that

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr}(H_N^{2n}) \rangle_N = N \sum_{g=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{\varepsilon_g(n)}{N^{2g}}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\varepsilon_g(n)$ denotes the number of ways in which the sides of a $(2n)$-gon may be identified in pairs in order to produce a compact orientable surface of genus g.

[1] We sometimes use the Iverson-Knuth bracket instead of the Kronecker delta — see Section 2 for notational conventions.

The computation of the CUE correlators (1.2), which is the main focus of this article, is more involved. The additional complexity stems from the fact that the analogue of the Wick formula in this setting involves a sum over the full permutation group and does not reduce the problem to computation of covariances, but rather to the computation of *permutation correlators*:

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{u_{i'(k)j'(k)}} \right\rangle_N = \sum_{\pi\in S(n)} \left(\sum_{\sigma\tau^{-1}=\pi} [i=i'\sigma][j=j'\tau] \right) \langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}} \dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N. \tag{1.5}$$

These permutation correlators, which have been computed up to $n = 6$ by Samuel [46] and Collins [10], are rational functions of N whose complexity grows rapidly with n. While any particular permutation correlator may be (laboriously) computed, explicit formulas are known only in a small number of special cases. Another issue, which is known as the *De Wit-'t Hooft anomaly* in physics literature [16, 35, 46], is that the above "Wick formula" is clearly not valid for $N < n$.

1.2 History of the problem

Integration over the elements of unitary matrices is a topic of perennial interest in mathematical physics. The problem was considered by nuclear physicists as early as 1963 [45], following Dyson's introduction of the circular ensembles [18], and later re-emerged in influential work of De Wit and 't Hooft [16], Samuel [46], and Weingarten [52] in gauge theory. Further references to the physics literature may be found in Morozov's recent survey [35], while a careful treatment of the foundations of the problem, starting with a classical construction of Hurwitz, is given by Forrester [21, Section 2.3].

Recently, due largely to interest in problems at the interface of random matrix theory and free probability, the problem of computing the integrals (1.2) has been reconsidered by mathematicians from a new perspective [11, 13]. This second generation approach has become an important paradigm in the burgeoning theory of integration on compact matrix quantum groups [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], where the basic quantities of interest are correlation functions of matrix elements with respect to the unique Haar state constructed by Woronowicz [53].

1.3 $1/N$ expansion

Despite the apparent intractability of the permutation correlators, their behaviour simplifies dramatically in the limit $N \to \infty$. In particular, first order asymptotics factorize according to cycle structure, and are known explicitly: if $\pi = c_1 c_2 \dots c_\ell$ is the disjoint cycle decomposition of π, then

$$(-1)^{n-\ell} N^{2n-\ell} \langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}} \dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Cat}_{|c_i|-1} + O(\frac{1}{N^2}) \tag{1.6}$$

for all $N \geq n$, where the factors in the product are Catalan numbers [7, 11, 13]. Factorization of first order asymptotics, which could be anticipated in view of the "factorization hypothesis" for matrix-valued field theories [54], indicates that the empirical distribution of the entries of U_N tends to a delta measure as $N \to \infty$. The first order approximation (1.6) is sufficient for many

practical purposes, e.g. establishing asymptotic freeness of independent Haar unitary random matrices [38, Lecture 23].

Given the pleasant form of the first order approximation (1.6), it is natural to ask about higher order corrections. In particular, one is hopeful that the full asymptotic expansion is a generating function for data of enumerative significance. As a motivating example, consider the first three terms in the $1/N$ expansion of diagonal correlators for small values of n :

$$\begin{aligned}
N\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}\rangle_N &= 1 \\
N^2\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}u_{22}\overline{u_{22}}\rangle_N &= 1+\frac{1}{N^2}+\frac{1}{N^4}+O(\frac{1}{N^6}) \\
N^3\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}u_{22}\overline{u_{22}}u_{33}\overline{u_{33}}\rangle_N &= 1+\frac{3}{N^2}+\frac{11}{N^4}+O(\frac{1}{N^6}) \\
N^4\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}u_{22}\overline{u_{22}}u_{33}\overline{u_{33}}u_{44}\overline{u_{44}}\rangle_N &= 1+\frac{6}{N^2}+\frac{41}{N^4}+O(\frac{1}{N^6}) \\
N^5\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}u_{22}\overline{u_{22}}u_{33}\overline{u_{33}}u_{44}\overline{u_{44}}u_{55}\overline{u_{55}}\rangle_N &= 1+\frac{10}{N^2}+\frac{105}{N^4}+O(\frac{1}{N^6}).
\end{aligned}$$

We know from (1.6) that the leading term is given by the product $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Cat}_0 = 1$. The surprise is that the second order terms apparently coincide with the sequence of triangular numbers. Indeed, we will show in this article that the terms in the $1/N$ expansion of permutation correlators behave *polynomially* with respect to the adjunction of diagonal matrix elements. The polynomials in question arise as the solution to a combinatorial problem, described initially in terms of the class expansion of symmetric functions in Jucys-Murphy elements [28, 36] and ultimately in terms of the structure of the algebra Λ^* of shifted symmetric functions [29, 41]. Returning to the above example, the third order expansion of diagonal correlators is

$$N^n\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{nn}}\rangle_N = 1+\frac{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}{N^2}+\frac{\frac{1}{24}n(n-1)(3n^2+17n-34)}{N^4}+O(\frac{1}{N^6}) \tag{1.7}$$

for all $N \geq n \geq 1$.

1.4 Jucys-Murphy elements

The Jucys-Murphy elements are commuting elements in the group algebra of the symmetric group $S(n)$. They are defined by

$$J_k = \sum \text{transpositions in } S(k) - \sum \text{transpositions in } S(k-1) \tag{1.8}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus

$$J_k = (1,k)+\dots+(k-1,k) \tag{1.9}$$

with $J_1 = 0$.

While the JM-elements themselves are not central, a remarkable fact discovered by Jucys [28] is that symmetric functions of them are. More precisely, let Ξ_n denote the multiset (or "alphabet") $\{\{J_1,\dots,J_n,0,0,\dots\}\}$ and let Λ denote the algebra of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{C}$. Then

$$f(\Xi_n) = f(J_1,\dots,J_n,0,0,\dots) \in \mathcal{Z}(n)$$

for any $f \in \Lambda$. That is, the map $f \mapsto f(\Xi_n)$ defines a specialization $\Lambda \to \mathcal{Z}(n)$ from the algebra of symmetric functions to the center of the symmetric group algebra.

Given Jucys' result, it is natural to ask for the multiplicity of a given conjugacy class in $f(\Xi_n)$, and it is in this context that the connection with unitary matrix integrals arises. Let $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$ denote the formal sum of all permutations in $S(n)$ of reduced cycle-type μ. For example, $\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n)$ is the identity permutation in $S(n)$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n)$ is the sum of all transpositions. Then

$$\{\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) : \mathrm{wt}(\mu) \leq n\} \tag{1.10}$$

is a linear basis of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$. Here the weight of a partition is defined by $\mathrm{wt}(\mu) := |\mu| + \ell(\mu)$, where $|\mu|$ is the sum of the parts of μ and $\ell(\mu)$ is the number of parts. We ask for the value of the coefficients $G_\mu(f, n)$ in the linear resolution

$$f(\Xi_n) = \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu)\leq n} G_\mu(f, n)\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n). \tag{1.11}$$

We will see below that the coefficients $G_\mu(f, n)$ depend polynomially on n; the computation of these polynomials will be referred to as the *class expansion problem.*

The class expansion problem for complete symmetric functions plays a special role in the theory of the correlation functions (1.2). Define coefficients $F^k_\mu(n)$ by

$$h_k(\Xi_n) = \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu)\leq n} F^k_\mu(n)\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n), \tag{1.12}$$

where h_k is the complete homogeneous symmetric function of degree k. That is, $F^k_\mu(n) = G_\mu(h_k, n)$. We will see below that non-zero coefficients are of the form $F^{|\mu|+2g}_\mu(n)$ for $g \geq 0$. One of our main results is that permutation correlators expand perturbatively into generating functions for these coefficients.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\pi \in S(n)$ be a permutation of reduced cycle-type μ. Then*

$$(-1)^{|\mu|}N^{n+|\mu|}\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = \sum_{g\geq 0}\frac{F^{|\mu|+2g}_\mu(n)}{N^{2g}}.$$

The third order expansion (1.7) was obtained by applying Theorem 1.1 with $\mu = (0)$,

$$N^n\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{nn}}\rangle_N = F^0_{(0)}(n) + \frac{F^2_{(0)}(n)}{N^2} + \frac{F^4_{(0)}(n)}{N^4} + O(\frac{1}{N^6}), \tag{1.13}$$

and plugging in the explicit forms of the polynomials $F^0_{(0)}(n), F^2_{(0)}(n), F^4_{(0)}(n)$ given in the Appendix.

1.5 The class expansion problem

Theorem 1.1 demonstrates a close connection between correlation functions of CUE matrix elements and class expansion of symmetric functions in JM-elements. The latter is a subject of

independent interest in algebraic combinatorics. For example, Lascoux and Thibon [32] have considered the class expansion of power-sum symmetric functions $p_k(\Xi_n)$, and a closely related problem was treated recently by Goulden and Jackson [25]. A new perspective is initiated in forthcoming work of Lassalle [31].

A large part of the present article is concerned with the class expansion problem for its own sake. We present a structured development of the fundamental properties of the class coefficients $G_\mu(f, n)$: polynomiality, vanishing criteria, and an explicit formula for "top" class coefficients, which are independent of n. Interestingly, the formula for top class coefficients involves certain refinements of the Catalan numbers which have previously been considered by Haiman [26] and Stanley [47] in rather different contexts.

2 Notation and terminology

2.1 General notation

We use the notation $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $[N]^{[n]}$ denotes the set of all functions $i : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, N\}$.

If P is a logical condition which may be either true or false, we freely use the Iverson-Knuth bracket

$$[P] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P \text{ true} \\ 0, & \text{if } P \text{ false} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

in place of the Kronecker delta, though the Kronecker delta is also used when convenient.

2.2 Partitions

A *partition* is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \geq 1$. The non-zero λ_i are called the *parts* of λ; the number of parts is denoted $\ell(\lambda)$ and called the *length* of λ. We often identify λ with the finite sequence $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ of its parts. The *size* of λ is the sum of its parts:

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i.$$

The *weight* of λ is by definition

$$\mathrm{wt}(\lambda) = |\lambda| + \ell(\lambda).$$

This non-standard definition of the weight of a partition is borrowed from [19].

Given a partition λ, its *reduction* $\tilde{\lambda}$ is obtained by subtracting one from each part of λ. Thus if $\mu = \tilde{\lambda}$, the size of μ is $|\mu| = |\lambda| - \ell(\lambda)$. For any $n \geq 1$, the map $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}$ is a bijection between partitions λ of size n and partitions μ of weight at most n. The inverse map $\mu \mapsto (\mu_1 + 1, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)} + 1, 1^{n - \mathrm{wt}(\mu)})$ will be called the *inflation* of μ to a partition of size n.

2.3 Symmetric group, group algebra, class algebra

$S(n)$ denotes the symmetric group on $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ denotes the algebra of functions $f : S(n) \to \mathbb{C}$ under convolution

$$(f * g)(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} f(\sigma) g(\sigma^{-1}\pi),$$

which we identify with the algebra of formal $\mathbb{C}$-linear sums of permutations with the multiplication

$$\Big(\sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} f(\sigma)\sigma \Big) \Big(\sum_{\tau \in S(n)} g(\tau)\tau \Big) = \sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \Big(\sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} f(\sigma) g(\sigma^{-1}\pi) \Big) \pi.$$

Although it is not immediately obvious from definitions, the CUE correlators (1.2) are in fact rational numbers, and one could therefore take $\mathbb{Q}$ as the ground field instead of $\mathbb{C}$.

The center of $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ is denoted $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ and called the *class algebra* of $S(n)$. To each $\pi \in S(n)$ one assigns a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of size n which records its cycle-type: π is in the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ if and only if its disjoint cycle decomposition consists of a cycle of length λ_1 followed by a cycle of length λ_2, etc. The indicator function of $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ is the sum $\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda} \pi$ and $\{\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda} \pi : |\lambda| = n\}$ is a canonical basis of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ called the class basis.

The symmetric groups form an inductive chain

$$S(1) \subset S(2) \subset \cdots \subset S(n) \subset \dots,$$

where $S(n)$ is embedded in $S(n+1)$ as the set of permutations which have $n+1$ as a fixed point. The inductive limit

$$S(\infty) = \lim_{\to} S(n)$$

of the symmetric groups with respect to the natural embeddings is called the *infinite symmetric group*. Concretely, $S(\infty)$ consists of permutations of the set of positive integers which fix all but finitely many points. The conjugacy classes C_μ of $S(\infty)$ are indexed by partitions μ as follows. A permutation $\pi \in S(n)$ is of *reduced cycle-type* μ if $\mu = \tilde{\lambda}$ for some partition λ of size n, and λ is the cycle-type of π. Reduced cycle-type is invariant under the embedding $S(n) \hookrightarrow S(n+1)$. We denote by $C_\mu(n)$ the class of all permutations in $S(n)$ of reduced cycle-type μ, and set

$$C_\mu = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C_\mu(n).$$

The C_μ are the conjugacy classes of $S(\infty)$.

Define

$$\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) = \sum_{\pi \in C_\mu(n)} \pi.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$ is the indicator function of $C_\mu(n)$. We have $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) = 0$ if $\mathrm{wt}(\mu) > n$, while

$$\{\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) : \mathrm{wt}(\mu) \leq n\}$$

is precisely the class basis of $Z(n)$, parameterized by partitions which label conjugacy classes in $S(\infty)$ rather than conjugacy classes in $S(n)$. Note that if π is a permutation of reduced cycle type μ, then $|\mu|$ is the minimal number of factors present in a factorization of π into transpositions.

2.4 Symmetric functions

With respect to symmetric functions, we mostly follow the notation of [33] and [49, Chapter 7].

$S(\infty)$ acts on the algebra of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ in countably many commuting indeterminates by permuting variables:

$$\pi \cdot f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots).$$

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, the *monomial symmetric function* of type λ is the symmetrization of the monomial

$$x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_k^{\lambda_k},$$

i.e. the sum of all elements in the orbit of this monomial under the action of $S(\infty)$ on $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$. Thus

$$m_\lambda = \frac{1}{\prod_{i\geq 1} m_i(\lambda)!} \sum_{\pi \in S(\infty)} x_{\pi(1)}^{\lambda_1} x_{\pi(2)}^{\lambda_2} \dots x_{\pi(k)}^{\lambda_k}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $m_i(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of i in λ. The *algebra of symmetric functions* is the subspace Λ of $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ spanned by the m_λ as λ ranges over all partitions. One verifies that Λ is in fact an algebra.

The *elementary symmetric function* of degree k is defined by $e_k = m_{(1^k)}$, i.e.

$$e_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}, \tag{2.3}$$

with $e_0 = 1$. The elementary symmetric functions have the generating function

$$E(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} e_k t^k = \prod_{i\geq 1} (1 + t x_i), \tag{2.4}$$

with t an indeterminate independent of the x_i's. The *complete symmetric function* of degree k is defined by $h_k = \sum_{|\lambda|=k} m_\lambda$, i.e.

$$h_k = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}, \tag{2.5}$$

with $h_0 = 1$. The complete symmetric functions have generating function

$$H(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} h_k t^k = \prod_{i\geq 1} (1 - t x_i)^{-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

Finally, the *power sum symmetric function* of degree k is defined by $p_k = m_{(k)}$, i.e.

$$p_k = \sum_{i\geq 1} x_i^k. \tag{2.7}$$

Given a partition λ, define

$$e_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} e_{\lambda_i}. \tag{2.8}$$

The symmetric functions h_λ and p_λ are defined analogously. Each of the sets $\{e_\lambda\}, \{h_\lambda\}, \{p_\lambda\}$ is a basis of Λ. Equivalently,

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[e_1, e_2, \dots] = \mathbb{C}[h_1, h_2, \dots] = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, \dots].$$

3 Integration formula and Jucys' theorem

In this section we present a general integration formula to compute the CUE correlators (1.2) for any values of n and N. This formula reduces to the Wick-type rule (1.5) for $N \geq n$, but also remains valid in the unstable range $N < n$. We essentially follow the invariant theory approach due to Collins and Śniady [13]. A new feature is the use of a result of Baik and Rains [1] which removes the usual difficulties associated with $N < n$.

3.1 Integration formula

Recall that a permutation $\sigma \in S(n)$ is said to have a *decreasing subsequence* of length k if there exist indices

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$$

such that

$$\sigma(i_1) > \sigma(i_2) > \cdots > \sigma(i_k).$$

Given $N \geq 1$, let $S_N(n)$ denote the set of permutations in $S(n)$ which have no decreasing subsequence of length $N+1$. Impose the reverse lexicographic order on $S_N(n)$, so that the identity permutation is minimal, and define the $|S_N(n)| \times |S_N(n)|$ matrix

$$\mathsf{G} = (N^{\#(\sigma\tau^{-1})})_{\sigma,\tau \in S_N(n)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\#(\pi)$ denotes the number of cycles in the disjoint cycle decomposition of π.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $n, N \geq 1$ and any indices $i, j, i', j' \in [N]^{[n]}$, G is invertible and we have*

$$\langle u_{i(1)j(1)} \overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}} \cdots u_{i(n)j(n)} \overline{u_{i'(n)j'(n)}} \rangle_N = \sum_{\sigma,\tau \in S_N(n)} [i = i'\sigma][j = j'\tau](\mathsf{G}^{-1})_{\sigma,\tau}.$$

Note that the matrix G^{-1} plays roughly the same role as the covariance matrix in the usual Wick formula for Gaussian random variables — in the stable range $N \geq n$ the (σ,τ)-entry of G^{-1} is precisely the permutation correlator $\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\sigma\tau^{-1}(1)}} \cdots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\sigma\tau^{-1}(n)}} \rangle_N$.

Let us illustrate Theorem 3.1 by working out the correlation functions for $n = 1, 2$.

Example 3.1. Suppose first $n = 1$. Then $\mathsf{G} = (N)$ and we have

$$\langle u_{i(1)j(1)}\overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}}\rangle_N = \frac{[i = i'][j = j']}{N}.$$

Now suppose $n = 2$. If $N = 1$, then $S_N(2)$ consists of only the identity permutation and $\mathsf{G} = (1)$. We thus obtain

$$\langle u_{i(1)j(1)}\overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}}u_{i(2)j(2)}\overline{u_{i'(2)j'(2)}}\rangle_{N=1} = [i = i'][j = j'] = 1,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that there is only one function from $\{1, 2\}$ to $\{1\}$. If $N \geq 2$, then $S_N(2) = S(2)$ and

$$\mathsf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} N^2 & N \\ N & N^2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathsf{G}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N^2-1} & \frac{-1}{N(N^2-1)} \\ \frac{-1}{N(N^2-1)} & \frac{1}{N^2-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u_{i(1)j(1)}\overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}}u_{i(2)j(2)}\overline{u_{i'(2)j'(2)}}\rangle_N \\ &= \frac{[i = i'\sigma][j = j'\sigma] + [i = i'\tau][j = j'\tau]}{N^2 - 1} - \frac{[i = i'\sigma][j = j'\tau] + [i = i'\tau][j = j'\sigma]}{N(N^2 - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma = (1)(2)$ and $\tau = (12)$.

In the stable range $N \geq n$, the Wick-type rule (1.5) stated in the Introduction follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *For $N \geq n$ and any indices $i, j, i', j' \in [N]^{[n]}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_{i(k)j(k)}\overline{u_{i'(k)j'(k)}} \right\rangle_N = \sum_{\pi \in S(n)} \Bigg(\sum_{\sigma\tau^{-1} = \pi} [i = i'\sigma][j = j'\tau] \Bigg) \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} u_{kk}\overline{u_{k\pi(k)}} \right\rangle_N.$$

Proof. Choose $i = j = i' = \mathrm{id}_n$ and $j' = \pi$ in Theorem 3.1. □

In particular, according to the above example we have

$$\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{11}}u_{22}\overline{u_{22}}\rangle_N = \frac{1}{N^2 - 1}, \quad \langle u_{11}\overline{u_{12}}u_{22}\overline{u_{21}}\rangle_N = \frac{-1}{N(N^2 - 1)} \tag{3.2}$$

for $N \geq 2$. These values were first computed by Creutz in [15] using a diagrammatic method, and it is interesting to compare the two techniques.

We give only a sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.1, referring the reader to the work of Collins-Śniady [13] and Collins-Matsumoto [12] for a more detailed treatment. We also point out that analogous integration formulas are available in much more general contexts [2, 3, 6].

Let $\mathcal{M}(N)$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of $N \times N$ complex matrices, with ordered basis $(E_{11}, \ldots, E_{1N}, E_{21}, \ldots, E_{2N}, \ldots, E_{N1}, \ldots, E_{NN})$ consisting of the elementary matrices. Consider the operator $\mathrm{av}_{\mathcal{U}(N)} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M}(N)^{\otimes n})$ which averages over the nth tensor power of the adjoint action of $\mathcal{U}(N)$ on $\mathcal{M}(N)$,

$$\mathrm{av}_{U(N)}(T) = \int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} U^{\otimes n} T (U^*)^{\otimes n} dU,$$

and let P be the matrix of $\mathrm{av}_{\mathcal{U}(N)}$ with respect to the basis $E_{i(1)j(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{i(n)j(n)}$, where $i, j \in [N]^{[n]}$. One may check directly that the entries of the $N^{2n} \times N^{2n}$ scalar matrix P are precisely the correlation functions (1.2):

$$\mathsf{P}_{i,j,i',j'} = \langle u_{i(1)j(1)}\overline{u_{i'(1)j'(1)}} \cdots u_{i(n)j(n)}\overline{u_{i'(n)j'(n)}}\rangle_N.$$

On the other hand, the averaging operator is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{M}(N)^{\otimes n}$ onto the space of intertwiners

$$C_n(\mathcal{U}(N)) = \{T : (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \to (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes n} \mid U^{\otimes n}T = TU^{\otimes n} \ \ (\forall U \in \mathcal{U}(N))\}.$$

In the general setting of a finite dimensional inner product space $(V, \langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle)$, one may compute the matrix P of the orthogonal projection of V onto a given subspace W with respect to a given basis $\mathcal{B}$ via the formula

$$\mathsf{P} = \sum_{w_i, w_j} |w_i\rangle\langle w_j|(\mathsf{G}^{-1})_{i,j}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $(w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ is a basis of W, $|w_i\rangle$ are the coordinates of w_i in $\mathcal{B}$, and G is the Gram matrix

$$\mathsf{G} = (\langle w_i|w_j\rangle)_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}.$$

Recall that the Gram matrix associated to a given set of vectors is invertible if and only if the vectors are linearly independent. One would like to apply this technique in order to determine the correlation matrix P, and this requires knowledge of a basis of $C_n(\mathcal{U}(N))$. A classical result which goes back to Brauer [8] is that the intertwiners

$$T_\pi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = v_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\pi(n)}$$

span $C_n(\mathcal{U}(N))$. Unfortunately, the T_π are linearly dependent in the unstable range $N < n$, and the associated Gram matrix is singular. This is the underlying reason for the De Wit-'t Hooft anomaly. This issue can be resolved via the following result of Baik and Rains.

Theorem 3.3 (Theorem 8.2 in [1])**.** *For any positive integers N, n, the set $\{T_\pi : \pi \in S_N(n)\}$ is a basis of $C_n(\mathcal{U}(N))$.*

The Gram matrix associated to this basis is given by (3.1), see [12, 13] (or [6] for a more general statement). Theorem 3.1 thus follows by applying the general projection formula (3.3) and extracting the (i, j, i', j')-entry of P.

3.2 Jucys' theorem

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the matrix

$$\mathsf{G}(z) = (z^{\#(\sigma\tau^{-1})})_{\sigma,\tau \in S(n)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus for $N \geq n$, $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{G}(N)$ is the Gram matrix which appears in Theorem 3.1. It follows that $\mathsf{G}(N)$ is invertible when $N \geq n$. In fact, the zeroes of $\det \mathsf{G}(z)$ are located at the integers $-(n-1), \ldots, (n-1)$.

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$\det \mathsf{G}(z) = z^{a_0} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z^2 - k^2)^{a_k},$$

where the exponents a_k are positive integers satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = n\frac{n!}{2}.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.4 is delayed until Section 6, when we discuss Jucys' result on the eigenvalues of JM-elements in irreducible representations. One may note a certain similarity between Proposition 3.4 and the main result of [9].

In this subsection we show that $\mathsf{G}(z)$ is the matrix of

$$(z + J_1) \dots (z + J_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e_k(\Xi_n) z^{n-k}$$

in the left regular representation of $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$, with respect to the permutation basis. The proof is based on the explicit computation of $e_k(\Xi_n)$ originally due to Jucys [28], see also [17, 31].

Proposition 3.5 ([28])**.** *For any $k \geq 0$,*

$$e_k(\Xi_n) = \sum_{|\mu|=k} \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Consider first the case $k \geq n$. Then it is clear from (2.3) that $e_k(\Xi_n) = 0$, since each term in the sum defining $e_k(\Xi_n)$ is a product of k distinct factors and the alphabet Ξ_n only contains $n-1$ non-zero elements. Moreover, if $|\mu| \geq n$ then $\mathrm{wt}(\mu) \geq n+1$, and hence the conjugacy class $C_\mu(n)$ is empty and $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) = 0$, whence the sum on the right hand side of (3.5) is 0. Thus the claim holds for $k \geq n$.

Now suppose $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then

$$\sum_{|\mu|=k} \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(n) \\ \#(\sigma) = n-k}} \sigma.$$

To prove that (3.5) holds in the range $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ we proceed by induction on n.

If $n = 2$, then $e_1(\Xi_2) = J_2 = (1, 2)$ and the claim is trivial.

Let $n > 2$ and suppose that (3.5) holds true for $e_k(\Xi_{n-1})$ with any k. We define the projection P_n from $S(n)$ to $S(n-1)$ by

$$P_n(\sigma)(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i) & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq n \\ \sigma(n) & \text{if } \sigma(i) = n, \end{cases}$$

for $\sigma \in S(n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In other words, $P_n(\sigma)$ is defined to be the permutation whose cycle decomposition is obtained by erasing the letter n in the cycle decomposition of σ. For each

$\tau \in S(n-1)$, we have $P_n^{-1}(\tau) = \{\tau(s,n) \mid 1 \le s \le n-1\} \cup \{\tau \cdot (n)\}$. Here $\tau \cdot (n)$ is an image under the natural injection $S(n-1) \hookrightarrow S(n)$. Observe $\#(\tau(s,n)) = \#(\tau)$ and $\#(\tau \cdot (n)) = \#(\tau) + 1$. Thus, the right hand side on (3.5) equals

$$\sum_{\tau \in S(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in P_n^{-1}(\tau) \\ \#(\sigma) = n-k}} \sigma = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S(n-1) \\ \#(\tau) = n-1-k}} \tau \cdot (n) + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S(n-1) \\ \#(\tau) = n-k}} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \tau(s,n).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the first sum on the right hand side equals $e_k(J_1, \dots, J_{n-1})$ Since $e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + e_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n$, we obtain the equality (3.5) for n. □

Proposition 3.5 has the following important corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *For any $f \in \Lambda$, $f(\Xi_n) = f(J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{Z}(n)$.*

Proof. Since $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[e_k : k = 1, 2, \dots]$, this follows from Proposition 3.5. □

Remark 3.1. Farahat and Higman [20] have shown that the class sums

$$a_k = \sum_{|\mu| = k} \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n), \qquad 0 \le k \le n-1,$$

generate $\mathcal{Z}(n)$: $\mathcal{Z}(n) = \mathbb{C}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$. Jucys' result (Proposition 3.5) says that $a_k = e_k(\Xi_n)$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(n) = \{f(\Xi_n) \mid f \in \Lambda\}.$$

Proposition 3.7. *$\mathsf{G}(z)$ is the matrix of $(z + J_1) \dots (z + J_n)$ in the left regular representation of $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$, with respect to the standard basis.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(z + J_1) \dots (z + J_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{n-k} e_k(\Xi_n) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^{n-k} \sum_{|\mu| = k} \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) \\
&= \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu) \le n} z^{n-|\mu|} \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} z^{\#(\sigma)} \sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\tau \in S(n)$, we have

$$\Bigl(\sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} z^{\#(\sigma)} \sigma \Bigr) \tau = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} z^{\#(\sigma)} (\sigma\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} z^{\#(\sigma\tau^{-1})} \sigma.$$

□

3.3 $1/N$ expansion

By Proposition 3.7, $(z+J_1)\dots(z+J_n)$ is an invertible element of $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ provided $z \notin \{-(n-1),\dots,(n-1)\}$. The inverse element is a generating function for complete symmetric functions of Jucys-Murphy elements:

$$(z+J_1)^{-1}\dots(z+J_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{z^n}\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^k h_k(\Xi_n)}{z^k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Consequently, we have the following.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\pi \in S(n)$ be a permutation of reduced cycle-type μ. For any $N \geq n$,*

$$N^n\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^k F_\mu^k(n)}{N^k}.$$

Proof. From (3.6) and the definition of $F_\mu^k(n) = G_\mu(h_k,n)$, the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$ in $(N+J_1)^{-1}\dots(N+J_n)^{-1}$ is

$$\frac{1}{N^n}\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^k F_\mu^k(n)}{N^k}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.7, this multiplicity is also the (id_n,π)-entry of G^{-1}, which according to Corollary 3.2 is the permutation correlator $\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N$. □

4 Class coefficients and connection coefficients

Theorem 3.8 shows the importance of understanding the coefficients $F_\mu^k(n) = G_\mu(h_k,n)$. In this section, we develop fundamental properties of class coefficients $G_\mu(f,n)$ which hold for arbitrary symmetric functions f. Many of these properties are analogous to well-known features of the connection coefficients of the class algebra $\mathcal{Z}(n)$.

4.1 Connection coefficients

It is a result of Farahat and Higman [20] that the connection coefficients of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ depend polynomially on n.

Theorem 4.1 (Farahat-Higman [20])**.** *There exist polynomials $A_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ indexed by triples of partitions such that*

$$\mathfrak{c}_\alpha(n)\mathfrak{c}_\beta(n) = \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu)\leq n} A_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(n)\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$$

for any $n \geq \max(\mathrm{wt}(\alpha),\mathrm{wt}(\beta))$.

Clearly, the above polynomials are uniquely determined by this property.

Example 4.1 ([24])**.** For $n \geq 4$,

$$\mathfrak{c}_{(1,1)}(n)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) = 4\mathfrak{c}_{(3,1)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2,1,1)}(n) + 5\mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + 3(n-3)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + 4(n-4)\mathfrak{c}_{(1,1)}(n).$$

That is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(3,1)}_{(1,1),(2)}(t) = 4 & A^{(2,1,1)}_{(1,1),(2)}(t) = 1 & A^{(4)}_{(1,1),(2)}(t) = 5 \\ A^{(2)}_{(1,1),(2)}(t) = 3(t-3) & A^{(1,1)}_{(1,1),(2)}(t) = 4(t-4). & \end{array}$$

The following well-known proposition is also due to Farahat and Higman [20].

Proposition 4.2. *In order that $A^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ is not the zero polynomial, the following conditions are necessary:*

1. $|\mu| \leq |\alpha| + |\beta|$.
2. $|\mu| \equiv |\alpha| + |\beta| \mod 2$.

When $|\mu| = |\alpha| + |\beta|$, the polynomial $A^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ is of degree zero, i.e. it is a constant. This integer $A^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ is called a *top connection coefficient*. For top connection coefficients, there is the following additional vanishing criterion.

Proposition 4.3. *A necessary condition for the top connection coefficient $A^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ to be non-zero is that $\alpha \cup \beta$ is a refinement of μ.*

4.2 Class coefficients

The Farahat-Higman theorem (Theorem 4.1) together with Jucys' evaluation of $e_k(\Xi_n)$ (Proposition 3.5) implies that class coefficients are also polynomial.

Theorem 4.4. *Corresponding to each symmetric function $f \in \Lambda$ there exist polynomials $G_{\mu}(f,t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, indexed by partitions, such that*

$$f(\Xi_n) = \sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu) \leq n} G_{\mu}(f,n)\mathfrak{c}_{\mu}(n)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[e_1, e_2, \dots]$ there exists a polynomial, say p_f, such that

$$f = p_f(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k})$$

for some elementary symmetric functions $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}$. By Jucys' theorem we have

$$f(\Xi_n) = p_f\Big(\sum_{|\mu|=i_1} \mathfrak{c}_{\mu}(n), \dots, \sum_{|\mu|=i_k} \mathfrak{c}_{\mu}(n)\Big),$$

and the result now follows from the Farahat-Higman theorem. □

We have the following vanishing criteria for the polynomials $G_\mu(f,t)$, analogous to the connection coefficient case.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $f \in \Lambda$. In order that $G_\mu(f,t)$ is not the zero polynomial, the following conditions are necessary:*

1. $|\mu| \leq \deg f$.
2. $|\mu| \equiv \deg f \mod 2$.

Example 4.2. For any $n \geq 1$,

$$h_3(\Xi_n) = 5\mathfrak{c}_3(n) + 2\mathfrak{c}_{(2,1)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^3)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2+3n-8)\mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n).$$

Further examples are given in the Appendix.

Remark 4.1. A formal proof of Proposition 4.5 is given in subsection 5.3 below. Note however that it is quite easy to see why this assertion holds. Indeed, assuming that $f \in \Lambda$ is homogeneous of degree k, the computation of $G_\mu(f,n)$ corresponds to a constrained factorization problem in $S(n)$, which asks for the number of factorizations of a permutation π of reduced-cycle type μ into k transpositions with constraints imposed by f. For example, the computation of $F_\mu^k(n) = G_\mu(h_k,n)$ corresponds to the number of factorizations

$$\pi = (s_1,t_1)\dots(s_k,t_k)$$

of $\pi \in C_\mu(n)$ into transpositions meeting the constraints $s_i < t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k$. Thus the vanishing conditions listed above correspond to the fact that $|\mu|$ is the minimal length of any factorization of π into transpositions, and that π is either an even or an odd permutation. We will see in Section 5 how this interpretation leads to an explicit formula for top class coefficients, which correspond to constrained factorizations of minimal length.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\pi \in S(n)$ be a permutation of reduced cycle type μ. Then*

$$(-1)^{|\mu|}N^{n+|\mu|}\left\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\right\rangle_N = \sum_{g\geq 0}\frac{F_\mu^{|\mu|+2g}(n)}{N^{2g}}.$$

Proof. It has already been shown (Theorem 3.8) that

$$N^n\left\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\right\rangle_N = \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^k F_\mu(k,n)}{N^k}.$$

By Proposition 4.5, non-zero coefficients are of the form $F_\mu^{|\mu|+2g}(n)$ for $g \geq 0$, and the result follows. □

5 Top class coefficients and first order asymptotics

By analogy with connection coefficients, $G_\mu(f,t)$ is called a *top class coefficient* when $|\mu| = \deg f$. In this section we prove that, like top connection coefficients, top class coefficients are degree zero polynomials in t, i.e. they are constants. Observe that in order to prove this, it suffices to do so for a linear basis of Λ, and for this purpose we select the basis $\{m_\lambda\}$ of monomial symmetric functions. We will give an explicit formula for the top class coefficients in the expansion of $m_\lambda(\Xi_n)$ in terms of certain refinements of Catalan numbers. These refined Catalan numbers have previously been considered by Haiman [26] and Stanley [47] in different contexts. In this way we show that the top class coefficients in the expansion of $h_k(\Xi_n)$ are products of Catalan numbers, and thus obtain a combinatorial proof of the first order asymptotics (1.6) of permutation correlators. We also give an analogue of Macdonald's result for top connection coefficients [24] by realizing top class coefficients as a transition matrix between two bases of the algebra of symmetric functions.

5.1 Explicit formula for top class coefficients

Let $\mathrm{Cat}_r = \frac{1}{r+1}\binom{2r}{r}$ be the rth Catalan number:

r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Cat_r	1	1	2	5	14	42	132	429	1430

It is well known that Catalan numbers satisfy the recurrence

$$\mathrm{Cat}_r = \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} \mathrm{Cat}_q \mathrm{Cat}_{r-1-q}. \tag{5.1}$$

As of August 11, 2009, the Catalan numbers have at least 173 known combinatorial interpretations, see [49, Exercises 6.19] and the *Catalan addendum*[50].

We will use the following interpretation of the Catalan numbers. For a positive integer k, let $\mathfrak{E}(k)$ be the set of all weakly increasing sequences $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ of k positive integers satisfying $i_p \geq p$ for $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ and $i_k = k$. For example,

$$\mathfrak{E}(3) = \{(123), (133), (223), (233), (333)\}.$$

Then, as proved in §5.4 (see also [49, Exercises 6.19 (s)]), the cardinality of $\mathfrak{E}(k)$ equals Cat_k. Let $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ be a weakly increasing sequence of k positive integers. We say that $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is of type $\lambda \vdash k$ if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ is a permutation of $(b_1, b_2, \dots)$, where, for each $p \geq 1$, b_p is the multiplicity of p in $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$.

Example 5.1. The sequences (1233), (1334), and (1134) are of type $(2,1,1)$, while the sequences (444477799), (555669999) are of type $(4,3,2)$.

Definition 5.1. Given a partition $\lambda \vdash k$, the *refined Catalan number* $\mathrm{RC}(\lambda)$ counts sequences $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ in $\mathfrak{E}(k)$ of type λ. For convenience, set $\mathrm{RC}(\lambda) = 1$ if λ is the empty partition.

Example 5.2. The four sequences $(1444), (2444), (3444), (3334)$ in $\mathfrak{E}(4)$ are all of type $(3,1)$, and indeed $\mathrm{RC}(3,1) = 4$. We have $\mathrm{RC}(k) = \mathrm{RC}(1^k) = 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *The sum of* $\mathrm{RC}(\lambda)$ *over* $\lambda \vdash k$ *equals* Cat_k*:*

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Cat}_k.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that $|\mathfrak{E}(k)| = \mathrm{Cat}_k$. □

Example 5.3. We give some examples of $\mathrm{RC}(\lambda)$ for small $|\lambda|$. "SUM" stands for the sum $\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Cat}_k$.

λ	1
RC(λ)	1

λ	2	1^2	SUM
RC(λ)	1	1	2

λ	3	21	1^3	SUM
RC(λ)	1	3	1	5

λ	4	31	2^2	21^2	1^4	SUM
RC(λ)	1	4	2	6	1	14

λ	5	41	32	31^2	2^21	21^3	1^5	SUM
RC(λ)	1	5	5	10	10	10	1	42

The explicit expression of $\mathrm{RC}(\lambda)$ is known and given as follows. See [47] and also [26, §2.6 and §4.1].

Proposition 5.2 ([47])**.** *Given a parition* λ*,*

$$\mathrm{RC}(\lambda) = \frac{|\lambda|!}{(|\lambda| - \ell(\lambda) + 1)! \prod_{i \geq 1} m_i(\lambda)!} = \frac{1}{|\lambda| + 1} m_\lambda(1^{|\lambda|+1}).$$

Here $m_i(\lambda)$ *is the multiplicity of* i *in* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$*.* □

Note that $\mathrm{RC}(a^m) = \frac{1}{(a-1)m+1}\binom{am}{m}$ is often called a *higher Catalan number* or sometimes a *Fuss-Catalan number*. In particular, $\mathrm{RC}(2^m) = \mathrm{Cat}_m$.

Definition 5.2. Given two partitions $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$, we define the set $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu)$ of sequences of partitions by

$$\mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu) = \{(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(\ell(\mu))}) \mid \lambda^{(i)} \vdash \mu_i \ (1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu)), \quad \lambda = \lambda^{(1)} \cup \lambda^{(2)} \cup \cdots \cup \lambda^{\ell(\mu)}\}.$$

Here $\lambda^{(1)} \cup \lambda^{(2)} \cup \cdots \cup \lambda^{\ell(\mu)}$ is the partition obtained by rearranging the juxtaposed sequence of parts of the partitions $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{\ell(\mu)}$ in weakly decreasing order. If $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu) \neq \emptyset$, we say that λ is a refinement of μ.

The following statements follow immediately:

- $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda, (k))$ consists of one element (λ);
- $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda, (1^k))$ consists of one element $(\underbrace{(1), (1), \dots, (1)}_{k})$ if $\lambda = (1^k)$, or is empty otherwise;

- $\mathfrak{R}((k),\mu)$ consists of one element $((k))$ if $\mu=(k)$, and is empty otherwise;
- $\mathfrak{R}((1^k),\mu)$ consists of one element $((1^{\mu_1}),(1^{\mu_2}),\dots,(1^{\ell(\mu)}))$;
- Suppose $\ell(\lambda)=\ell(\mu)$. Then $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)$ consists of one element $((\lambda_1),(\lambda_2),\dots,(\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}))$ if $\lambda=\mu$, and is empty otherwise.
- $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)=\emptyset$ unless $\lambda\le\mu$. Here $\le$ stands for the dominance partial ordering: $\lambda\le\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_i\le\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_i$ for all $i\ge 1$. (This is seen in [33, I (6.10)].)

Example 5.4. The set $\mathfrak{R}((3,2,2,1),(5,3))$ consists of two elements given by $((3,2),(2,1))$ and $((2,2,1),(3))$. □

We are now ready to state our formula for top class coefficients.

Theorem 5.3. *Let μ,λ be partitions, $|\mu|=|\lambda|$. Then the top class coefficient $L_\mu^\lambda(t)=G_\mu(m_\lambda,t)$ is given by*

$$L_\mu^\lambda(t)=\sum_{(\lambda^{(1)},\lambda^{(2)},\dots,\lambda^{(\ell(\mu))})\in\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)}\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(1)})\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(2)})\cdots\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(\ell(\mu))}). \tag{5.2}$$

In particular, $L_\mu^\lambda=L_\mu^\lambda(t)$ is independent of t, and L_μ^λ is zero unless λ is a refinement of μ.

Observe that for $\lambda,\mu\vdash k$,

$$L_{(k)}^\lambda=\mathrm{RC}(\lambda),\qquad L_{(1^k)}^\lambda=\delta_{\lambda,(1^k)},\qquad L_\mu^{(k)}=\delta_{\mu,(k)},\qquad L_\mu^{(1^k)}=1,\qquad L_\lambda^\lambda=1.$$

The equality $L_\mu^{(1^k)}=1$ is compatible with Proposition 3.5.

As we saw in the previous subsection, unless $\lambda\le\mu$, $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)=\emptyset$ (see [33, I-6 (6.10)]), and hence $L_\mu^\lambda=0$. The matrix $(L_\mu^\lambda)_{\lambda,\mu\vdash k}$ is therefore strictly lower unitriangular in the sense of [33, I-6].

We give the proof of Theorem 5.3 in the next subsections. The numbers L_μ^λ for $\lambda,\mu\vdash k$ for $k\le 7$ are tabulated in the Appendix.

Example 5.5. By Example 5.3, Example 5.4, and Theorem 5.3, we have

$$L_{(5,3)}^{(3,2,2,1)}=\mathrm{RC}(3,2)\mathrm{RC}(2,1)+\mathrm{RC}(2,2,1)\mathrm{RC}(3)=5\times 3+10\times 1=25.$$

5.2 First order asymptotics of correlation functions

Recall that we have defined $F_\mu^k(t)=G_\mu(h_k,t)$, so that

$$h_k(\Xi_n)=\sum_{\mathrm{wt}(\mu)\le n}F_\mu^k(n)\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$$

for any $n\ge 1$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let μ be a partition. The top class coefficient $F_\mu^{|\mu|}$ is given by*

$$F_\mu^{|\mu|} = \prod_{i\geq 1} \mathrm{Cat}_{\mu_i}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Let $k = |\mu|$. We have

$$F_\mu^k(t) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash k} L_\mu^\lambda(t) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash k} \sum_{(\lambda^{(1)},\lambda^{(2)},\dots)\in\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(1)})\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(2)})\cdots$$

by Theorem 5.3. By the definition of $\mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu)$, we see that

$$\bigsqcup_{\lambda\vdash k} \mathfrak{R}(\lambda,\mu) = \{(\lambda^{(1)},\lambda^{(2)},\dots) \mid \lambda^{(i)} \vdash \mu_i \ (i\geq 1)\},$$

so that, by Proposition 5.1,

$$F_\mu^k = \prod_{i\geq 1}\left(\sum_{\lambda^{(i)}\vdash\mu_i} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(i)})\right) = \prod_{i\geq 1} \mathrm{Cat}_{\mu_i}.$$

□

Remark 5.1. For the double covering $\widetilde{S}_n$ of the symmetric group, a result similar to Theorem 5.4 was recently obtained by Tysse and Wang [51]. They deal with $e_k(M_1^2,\dots,M_n^2)$, where the M_i are elements of the spin group algebra of $\widetilde{S}_n$ called *odd Jucys-Murphy elements*. □

Theorem 5.4 was first obtained by Murray [37, Corollary 6.4] in the framework of the Farahat-Higman algebra, and independently rediscovered by the second author [39] via Collins' work [11] on unitary matrix integrals. The proof given here is different from either of these, and is completely combinatorial. When combined with Corollary 4.6, this yields a transparent combinatorial proof of the first order asymptotics (1.6) of correlation functions.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\pi\in S(n)$ be a permutation of reduced cycle type μ. Then*

$$(-1)^{|\mu|}N^{n+|\mu|}\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \mathrm{Cat}_{\mu_i} + O(\frac{1}{N^2})$$

for any $N\geq n$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.6, we have

$$(-1)^{|\mu|}N^{n+|\mu|}\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = F_\mu^{|\mu|} + O(\frac{1}{N^2}).$$

Theorem 5.4 asserts that

$$F_\mu^{|\mu|} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \mathrm{Cat}_{\mu_i},$$

and the result follows. □

5.3 Technical lemmas

In the next subsections we give the proof of Theorem 5.3. In this subsection several technical lemmas required in the proof are established.

Define the support of $\sigma \in S(\infty)$ by

$$\mathrm{supp}(\sigma) = \{i \mid \sigma(i) \neq i\}.$$

If the reduced cycle-type of σ is μ, then $|\mathrm{supp}(\sigma)| = \mathrm{wt}(\mu)$.

Lemma 5.6. *Given a permutation π and a transposition (s,t), let $\Pi = \pi(s,t)$. Suppose that $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ are the reduced cycle-types of Π and π, respectively. Then we have $|\Lambda| = |\lambda| \pm 1$. Furthermore, if $|\Lambda| = |\lambda| + 1$, then $\mathrm{supp}(\Pi) = \mathrm{supp}(\pi) \cup \{s,t\}$, and s,t belong to the same cycle of Π.*

Proof. Given a permutation π and a transposition (s,t), the following four cases may occur: (i) $|\mathrm{supp}(\pi) \cap \{s,t\}| = 0$; (ii) $|\mathrm{supp}(\pi) \cap \{s,t\}| = 1$; (iii) $s,t \in \mathrm{supp}(\pi)$, and s,t belong to different cycles of π; (iv) $s,t \in \mathrm{supp}(\pi)$, and s,t belong to the same cycle of π.

For the case (i), we obtain $\Lambda = \lambda \cup (1)$ immediately. In the case (ii), we may suppose $\mathrm{supp}(\pi) \cap \{s,t\} = \{s\}$. Then π has a cycle $(\dots, s, \pi(s), \dots)$, and Π has the cycle $(\dots, s, t, \pi(s), \dots)$. Therefore Λ has a part equal to $\lambda_j + 1$. In the case (iii), π has two cycles of the forms $(\dots, \pi^{-1}(s), s, \pi(s), \dots)$ and $(\dots, \pi^{-1}(t), t, \pi(t), \dots)$. Therefore Π has the combined cycle $(\dots, \pi^{-1}(s), s, \pi(t), \dots, \pi^{-1}(t), t, \pi(s), \dots)$. Thus, a certain part Λ_k of Λ equals $\lambda_i + \lambda_j + 1$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell(\lambda)$. In the case (iv), π has a cycle of the form

$$(\dots, \pi^{-1}(s), s, \pi(s), \dots, \pi^{-1}(t), t, \pi(t), \dots),$$

and so Π has divided cycles $(\dots, \pi^{-1}(s), s, \pi(t), \dots)$ and $(\pi(s), \dots, \pi^{-1}(t), t)$. Thus, there are Λ_j and Λ_k equal to $r-1$ and $\lambda_i - r$ for some λ_i and $r \geq 1$.

For the case (iv), Λ and λ satisfy the identity $|\Lambda| = |\lambda| - 1$. For other cases (i),(ii), and (iii), we have $|\Lambda| = |\lambda| + 1$. The rest of the claims are seen above. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let σ be a permutation of reduced cycle-type λ. Suppose that σ factors as $(s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_p,t_p)$, where $s_i < t_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq p)$. Then $|\lambda| \leq p$ and $|\lambda| \equiv p \pmod 2$.*

This corollary implies Proposition 4.5.

If σ is a permutation of reduced cycle-type $\lambda \vdash r$, and if σ may be factored into r transpositions

$$\sigma = (s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_r,t_r), \tag{5.4}$$

then we say that (5.4) is a minimal factorization of σ.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\lambda \vdash r$ and let σ be a permutation of reduced cycle-type λ. Suppose that σ factors as $(s_1,t_1)(s_2,t_2)\cdots(s_r,t_r)$, where $s_i < t_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $2 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_r$. Then $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma) = \{s_1,t_1,s_2,t_2,\dots,s_r,t_r\}$. Furthermore, for each i, the letters s_i, t_i belong to the same cycle of σ.*

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, define $\sigma_i = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_i, t_i)$. It follows by Lemma 5.6 that the size of the reduced cycle-type of σ_i must be i, and that $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_i) = \mathrm{supp}(\sigma_{i-1}) \cup \{s_i, t_i\}$. In addition, s_i, t_i belong to the same cycle of σ_i, and therefore to the one of σ. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\tau^{(1)}$ and $\tau^{(2)}$ be permutations such that $i < j$ for all $i \in \mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(1)})$ and $j \in \mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(2)})$. Suppose that the reduced cycle-types of $\tau^{(1)}$ and $\tau^{(2)}$ have weights r_1 and r_2, respectively. Also, suppose that $\sigma := \tau^{(1)}\tau^{(2)}$ may be expressed as $\sigma = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_r, t_r)$, where $r = r_1 + r_2$, $s_i < t_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$, and $2 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_r$. Then,*

$$\tau^{(1)} = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_{r_1}, t_{r_1}), \qquad \tau^{(2)} = (s_{r_1+1}, t_{r_1+1}) \cdots (s_r, t_r).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.8, we see $\mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(1)}) \sqcup \mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(2)}) = \mathrm{supp}(\sigma) = \{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_r, t_r\}$. Since t_i are not decreasing, there exists an integer p such that $t_1, \ldots, t_p \in \mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(1)})$ and $t_{p+1}, \ldots, t_r \in \mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(2)})$. Furthermore, applying Lemma 5.8 again, we see that s_i, t_i belong to the same cycle of σ, and so that $\mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(1)}) = \{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_p, t_p\}$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\tau^{(2)}) = \{s_{p+1}, t_{p+1}, \ldots, s_r, t_r\}$. In particular, for any $i \in \{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_p, t_p\}$ and $j \in \{s_{p+1}, t_{p+1}, \ldots, s_r, t_r\}$, we have $\tau^{(1)}(i) = \sigma(i)$ and $\tau^{(2)}(j) = \sigma(j)$.

Let $\rho^{(1)} = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_p, t_p)$ and $\rho^{(2)} = (s_{p+1}, t_{p+1}) \cdots (s_r, t_r)$. Since $\sigma = \rho^{(1)}\rho^{(2)}$ we have $\{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_p, t_p\} = \mathrm{supp}(\rho^{(1)})$ and $\{s_{p+1}, t_{p+1}, \ldots, s_r, t_r\} = \mathrm{supp}(\rho^{(2)})$. Therefore for any $i \in \{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_p, t_p\}$ and $j \in \{s_{p+1}, t_{p+1}, \ldots, s_r, t_r\}$, we have $\rho^{(1)}(i) = \sigma(i)$ and $\rho^{(2)}(j) = \sigma(j)$. This means $\tau^{(1)} = \rho^{(1)}$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \rho^{(2)}$. In particular, the sizes of the reduced cycle-type of $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$ are r_1 and r_2, respectively. By definition of $\rho^{(i)}$ and Corollary 5.7, we have $r_1 \leq p$ and $r_2 \leq r - p$. But $r = r_1 + r_2$ so that $p = r_1$. Therefore $\tau^{(1)} = \rho^{(1)} = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_{r_1}, t_{r_1})$. The desired expression for $\tau^{(2)}$ also follows. □

5.4 Expressions for cycles

Let a, r be non-negative integers. Define the set $\mathfrak{E}(a; r)$ by

$$\mathfrak{E}(a; r) = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r, \quad i_p \geq a + p \ (1 \leq p \leq r-1), \quad i_r = a + r\}$$

for $r \geq 1$ and let $\mathfrak{E}(a; 0) = \emptyset$. This extends the above definition of $\mathfrak{E}(r) = \mathfrak{E}(0; r)$, and the mapping $(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \mapsto (a + i_1, \ldots, a + i_r)$ gives a bijection from $\mathfrak{E}(r)$ to $\mathfrak{E}(a; r)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{E}_0(a; r) =& \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a; r) \mid i_p > a + p \ (1 \leq p \leq r-1)\},\\
\mathfrak{E}_1(a; r) =& \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a; r) \mid i_1 = a + 1, \ i_p > a + p \ (2 \leq p \leq r-1)\},\\
&\vdots\\
\mathfrak{E}_q(a; r) =& \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a; r) \mid i_q = a + q, \ i_p > a + p \ (q + 1 \leq p \leq r-1)\},\\
&\vdots\\
\mathfrak{E}_{r-1}(a; r) =& \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a; r) \mid i_{r-1} = a + r - 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the decomposition $\mathfrak{E}(a; r) = \bigsqcup_{q=0}^{r-1} \mathfrak{E}_q(a; r)$. For each $(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathfrak{E}_q(a; r)$ with $0 \leq q \leq r-2$, we have $i_{r-1} = a + r$. Therefore, for each $0 \leq q \leq r-1$, the mapping

$$(i_1, \ldots, i_q, i_{q+1}, \ldots, i_r) \mapsto ((i_1, \ldots, i_q), (i_{q+1}, \ldots, i_{r-1}))$$

gives a bijection from $\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)$ to $\mathfrak{E}(a;q) \times \mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)$. Here when either $q=0$ or $q=r-1$, we regard the set $\mathfrak{E}(a;q) \times \mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)$ as $\mathfrak{E}(a+1;r-1)$ or $\mathfrak{E}(a;r-1)$, respectively. Thus, we obtain a natural identification

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(a;r) &= \mathfrak{E}_0(a;r) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{q=1}^{r-2} \mathfrak{E}_q(a;r) \right) \sqcup \mathfrak{E}_{r-1}(a;r) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{E}(a+1;r-1) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{q=1}^{r-2} (\mathfrak{E}(a;q) \times \mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)) \right) \sqcup \mathfrak{E}(a;r-1). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

In particular, $|\mathfrak{E}(r)| = |\mathfrak{E}(r-1)| + \sum_{q=1}^{r-2} |\mathfrak{E}(q)||\mathfrak{E}(r-1-q)| + |\mathfrak{E}(r-1)|$ for $r \geq 2$. Comparing this equation with (5.1), we have $|\mathfrak{E}(a;r)| = |\mathfrak{E}(r)| = \mathrm{Cat}_r$ for all $r \geq 1$.

For two positive integers a, r, we define the cycle $\xi(a;r)$ of length $r+1$ by

$$\xi(a;r) = (a, a+1, \ldots, a+r).$$

For convenience, we take $\xi(a;0)$ to be the identity permutation. The following proposition is the key to our proof of Theorem 5.3.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ be positive integers satisfying $2 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_r$. The cycle $\xi(a;r)$ may be expressed as a product of r transpositions*

$$\xi(a;r) = (s_1,t_1)(s_2,t_2)\cdots(s_r,t_r), \qquad s_i < t_i \ (1 \leq i \leq r) \tag{5.6}$$

if and only if

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a;r). \tag{5.7}$$

Furthermore, for each $(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in \mathfrak{E}(a;r)$, the expression (5.6) of $\xi(a;r)$ is unique.

Example 5.6. Consider the cycle $\xi(1;9) = (1,2,\ldots,10)$ and three sequences

$$(3,5,5,5,8,8,8,9,10), \quad (3,4,4,7,7,9,9,10,10), \quad (9,9,9,9,10,10,10,10,10)$$

in $\mathfrak{E}(1;9)$. The corresponding expressions of $\xi(1;9)$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(2,3)(4,5)(3,5)(1,5)(7,8)(6,8)(5,8)(8,9)(9,10), \\ &(2,3)(3,4)(1,4)(6,7)(5,7)(8,9)(7,9)(9,10)(4,10), \\ &(8,9)(7,9)(6,9)(5,9)(9,10)(4,10)(3,10)(2,10)(1,10). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 5.10. We proceed by induction on r. When $r=1$, since $\xi(a;1) = (a, a+1)$, and since $\mathfrak{E}(a;1)$ consists of a sequence $(a+1)$ of length 1, our claims are trivial. Let $r > 1$ and suppose that for cycles of length $< r+1$, all claims in the theorem hold true.

(i) First, we suppose that the cycle $\xi(a;r)$ is given by the form (5.6). Then we have $t_r = a+r$ because t_r is the maximum among $\mathrm{supp}(\xi(a;r))$, where $\mathrm{supp}(\xi(a;r)) = \{s_1, t_1, \ldots, s_r, t_r\}$ by Lemma 5.8. If we write as $s_r = a+q$ with $0 \leq q \leq r-1$, we have

$$(s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_{r-1},t_{r-1}) = (a, a+1, \ldots, a+q)(a+q+1, a+q+2, \ldots, a+r).$$

By Lemma 5.9, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_q,t_q) =&(a,a+1,\ldots,a+q),\\ (s_{q+1},t_{q+1})\cdots(s_{r-1},t_{r-1}) =&(a+q+1,a+q+2,\ldots,a+r).\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

By the induction hypothesis for cycles of length $q+1$ and of length $r-q$, we have $(t_1,\ldots,t_q)\in\mathfrak{E}(a;q)$ and $(t_{q+1},\ldots,t_{r-1})\in\mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)$. This fact and Equation (5.5) imply $(t_1,\ldots,t_q,t_{q+1},\ldots,t_{r-1},t_r)\in\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)\subset\mathfrak{E}(a;r)$.

(ii) Next, we suppose $(t_1,\ldots,t_r)\in\mathfrak{E}(a;r)$. According to the decomposition $\mathfrak{E}(a;r)=\bigsqcup_{q=0}^{r-1}\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)$, there exists a unique number q such that $0\le q\le r-1$ and $(t_1,\ldots,t_r)\in\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)$, and then $(t_1,\ldots,t_q)\in\mathfrak{E}(a;q)$ and $(t_{q+1},\ldots,t_{r-1})\in\mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)$. By the induction assumption, there exist sequences $(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_q)$ and $(s_{q+1},\ldots,s_{r-1})$ satisfying (5.8). Therefore we obtain the expression

$$\xi(a;r)=(s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_q,t_q)(s_{q+1},t_{q+1})\cdots(s_{r-1},t_{r-1})(a+q,a+r),$$

as required.

(iii) It remains to prove the uniqueness of the expression (5.6). Assume that the cycle $\xi(a;r)$ has two expressions

$$(s_1,t_1)(s_2,t_2)\cdots(s_r,t_r)\qquad\text{and}\qquad(s'_1,t_1)(s'_2,t_2)\cdots(s'_r,t_r),$$

where $s_i,s'_i<t_i$ $(1\le i\le r)$. Write as $s_r=a+q$ and $s'_r=a+q'$. As we saw in the part (i), the sequence $(t_1,\ldots,t_r)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)\cap\mathfrak{E}_{q'}(a;r)$. But, since $\mathfrak{E}_q(a;r)\cap\mathfrak{E}_{q'}(a;r)=\emptyset$ if $q\ne q'$, we have $q=q'$ so that $s_r=s'_r$. Now, as like (5.8), we have $(t_1,\ldots,t_q)\in\mathfrak{E}(a;q)$ and $(t_{q+1},\ldots,t_{r-1})\in\mathfrak{E}(a+q+1;r-1-q)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(s_1,t_1)\cdots(s_q,t_q)=(s'_1,t_1)\cdots(s'_q,t_q) =&(a,a+1,\ldots,a+q),\\ (s_{q+1},t_{q+1})\cdots(s_{r-1},t_{r-1})=(s'_{q+1},t_{q+1})\cdots(s'_{r-1},t_{r-1}) =&(a+q+1,a+q+2,\ldots,a+r).\end{aligned}$$

By the induction assumption, we obtain $s_1=s'_1,\ldots,s_q=s'_q,s_{q+1}=s'_{q+1},\ldots,s_{r-1}=s'_{r-1}$. □

5.5 Proof of Theorem 5.3

Recall the definition of the Jucys-Murphy elements: $J_t=\sum_{1\le s<t}(s,t)$. For a permutation $\sigma\in S(n)$ and a polynomial f in n variables, denote by $[\sigma]f(\Xi_n)$ the multiplicity of σ in $f(J_1,\ldots,J_n)$:

$$f(\Xi_n)=\sum_{\sigma\in S(n)}([\sigma]f(\Xi_n))\,\sigma\in\mathbb{C}[S_n].$$

For a partition μ with size k and length l, we define the canonical permutation σ_μ of reduced cycle-type μ by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\mu =&(1,2,\ldots,\mu_1+1)(\mu_1+2,\ldots,\mu_1+\mu_2+2)\cdots(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{l-1}+l,\ldots,k+l)\\ =&\xi(1;\mu_1)\xi(\mu_1+2;\mu_2)\cdots\xi(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{l-1}+l;\mu_l).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.11. *Let μ be a partition of k and let $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $2 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k$. Then $[\sigma_\mu] J_{t_1} \cdots J_{t_k} = 1$ if $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ satisfies*

$$(t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+1}, \dots, t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+\mu_i}) \in \mathfrak{E}(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + i; \mu_i) \tag{5.9}$$

for all $1 \le i \le \ell(\mu)$, and $[\sigma_\mu] J_{t_1} \cdots J_{t_k} = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The value $[\sigma_\mu] J_{t_1} \cdots J_{t_k}$ is the number of sequences $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ satisfying

$$\sigma_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \xi(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + i; \mu_i) = (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_k, t_k).$$

By Lemma 5.9, it equals the number of sequences $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ satisfying

$$\xi(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + i; \mu_i) = (s_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+1}, t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+1}) \cdots (s_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+\mu_i}, t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+\mu_i})$$

for all $1 \le i \le \ell(\mu)$. It follows by Proposition 5.10 that $[\sigma_\mu] J_{t_1} \cdots J_{t_k}$ equals to 1 if (5.9) holds true for all i, and to 0 otherwise. □

Example 5.7. Let $2 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_6$ and consider $\sigma_{(3,2,1)} = (1,2,3,4)(5,6,7)(8,9)$. Suppose $[\sigma_{(3,2,1)}] J_{t_1} \cdots J_{t_6} = 1$. Then, Proposition 5.11 claims

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathfrak{E}(1; 3), \qquad (t_4, t_5) \in \mathfrak{E}(5; 2), \qquad (t_6) \in \mathfrak{E}(8; 1).$$

Therefore, $(t_1, t_2) \in \{(2,3), (2,4), (3,3), (3,4), (4,4)\}$, $t_3 = 4$, $t_4 \in \{6, 7\}$, $t_5 = 7$, and $t_6 = 9$. □

As defined above, a weakly increasing sequence $(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ is of type $\lambda \vdash r$ with $\ell(\lambda) = l$ if there exists a permutation $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ such that

$$t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = t_{\alpha_1} < t_{\alpha_1+1} = t_{\alpha_1+2} = \cdots = t_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} < t_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1} = \cdots .$$

The monomial symmetric polynomial $m_\lambda(\Xi_n)$, $\lambda \vdash k$, is written as

$$m_\lambda(\Xi_n) = \sum_{\substack{2 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le n \\ (t_1, \dots, t_k) : \text{type } \lambda}} J_{t_1} J_{t_2} \cdots J_{t_k} = \sum_{\substack{2 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le n \\ (t_1, \dots, t_k) : \text{type } \lambda}} \sum_{s_1=1}^{t_1-1} \cdots \sum_{s_k=1}^{t_k-1} (s_1, t_1) \cdots (s_k, t_k).$$

Let μ be a partition of k. We now evaluate the coefficient $L_\mu^\lambda(n)$ of $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$ in $m_\lambda(J_1, \dots, J_n)$, which equals $L_\mu^\lambda(n) = [\sigma_\mu] m_\lambda(J_1, \dots, J_n)$. By the assumption $n \ge k + \ell(\mu)$, the permutation σ_μ lives in $S(n)$. It follows by Proposition 5.11 that $L_\mu^\lambda(n)$ is the number of weakly increasing sequences $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ of type λ, satisfying (5.9) for all $1 \le i \le \ell(\mu)$. If $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is such a sequence and if we let $\lambda^{(i)} \vdash \mu_i$ being the type of $(t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+1}, \dots, t_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_{i-1}+\mu_i})$, then λ must agree with $\lambda^{(1)} \cup \lambda^{(2)} \cup \cdots$ so that $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots) \in \mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu)$. Thus, $L_\mu^\lambda(n)$ coincides with

$$\sum_{(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots) \in \mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} (\text{the number of sequences in } \mathfrak{E}(\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_{i-1} + i; \mu_i) \text{ of type } \lambda^{(i)})$$

$$= \sum_{(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots) \in \mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(i)}).$$

This completely proves Theorem 5.3.

5.6 An analogue of Macodonald's result for top connection coefficients

Macdonald [33, Chapter I.7, Example 25], see also [24], used Lagrange inversion to construct a basis $\{g_\mu\}$ of the algebra of symmetric functions whose connection coefficients coincide with the top connection coefficients $A^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$. That is,

$$g_\alpha g_\beta = \sum_{|\mu|=|\alpha|+|\beta|} A^\mu_{\alpha\beta} g_\mu \tag{5.10}$$

for any partitions α, β, where the $A^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ are top connection coefficients.

Example 5.8 ([24])**.** Corresponding to Example 4.1, we have

$$g_{(1,1)}g_{(2)} = 4g_{(3,1)} + g_{(2,1,1)} + 5g_{(4)}.$$

In this subsection we give an analogue of Macdonald's result for the top class coefficients L^λ_μ : for each $k \geq 1$ we realize the matrix $(L^\lambda_\mu)_{|\mu|=|\lambda|=k}$ as the transition matrix between two bases of the degree k component of the graded algebra Λ.

Since the elementary symmetric functions e_k are algebraically independent and generate Λ, we may define an endomorphism $\psi : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ by $\psi(e_k) = h_k$. This endomorphism is in fact involutive: $\psi(h_k) = e_k$. The image $f_\lambda := \psi(m_\lambda)$ of the monomial symmetric function of type λ under ψ is known as the *forgotten symmetric function* of type λ, see [49, Exercise 7.8].

Theorem 5.12. *Let $|\lambda| = k$. Then*

$$(-1)^k f_\lambda = \sum_{|\mu|=k} L^\lambda_\mu g_\mu.$$

Proof. Let

$$u = t + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} h_r t^{r+1}.$$

Then t can be expressed as a power series in u. Define symmetric functions h^*_r, $r = 1, 2, \ldots$, via

$$t = u + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} h^*_r u^{r+1}.$$

From the Lagrange inversion formula, the symmetric functions h^*_r are explicitly given by

$$h^*_r = (-1)^r \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda) e_\lambda, \tag{5.11}$$

where $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots$, see [37, (3.6)] and also [26], [33, Ch. I, Example 2.24], [47].

Let $h^*_\lambda = h^*_{\lambda_1} h^*_{\lambda_2} \cdots$. Then $\{h^*_\lambda\}$ is a basis of Λ.

Let μ be a partition of k. We will now prove that

$$(-1)^k h^*_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} L^\lambda_\mu e_\lambda, \tag{5.12}$$

and thus the matrix $(L_\mu^\lambda)_{|\mu|=|\lambda|=k}$ is the transition matrix from the basis $\{(-1)^{|\lambda|}h_\lambda^*\}$ to the basis $\{e_\lambda\}$ in the kth component of Λ. The proof goes as follows: let $l = \ell(\mu)$. It follows from Theorem 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu^* =& h_{\mu_1}^* h_{\mu_2}^* \cdots h_{\mu_l}^* \\ =& (-1)^k \sum_{\lambda^{(1)} \vdash \mu_1} \sum_{\lambda^{(2)} \vdash \mu_2} \cdots \sum_{\lambda^{(l)} \vdash \mu_l} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(1)})\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(2)}) \cdots \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(l)}) e_{\lambda^{(1)} \cup \lambda^{(2)} \cup \cdots \cup \lambda^{(l)}} \\ =& (-1)^k \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \sum_{(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(l)}) \in \mathfrak{R}(\lambda, \mu)} \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(1)})\mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(2)}) \cdots \mathrm{RC}(\lambda^{(l)}) e_\lambda \\ =& (-1)^k \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} L_\mu^\lambda e_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the scalar product on Λ defined by $\langle h_\lambda, m_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$. With respect to this scalar product, the dual bases of $\{h_\lambda^*\}$ and $\{e_\lambda\}$ are, respectively, Macdonald's symmetric functions $\{g_\lambda\}$ and the forgotten symmetric functions $\{f_\lambda\}$, see [33, Ch. I.2], [33, Ch. I, Example 7.25], [37]. Thus (5.12) is equivalent to

$$(-1)^k f_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \vdash k} L_\mu^\lambda g_\mu, \qquad \lambda \vdash k. \tag{5.13}$$

□

6 Non-top class coefficients and sub-leading asymptotics

The significance of Theorem 5.12 is that the top class coefficients L_μ^λ, which were initially defined in terms of symmetric functions evaluated on JM-elements, have now been realized as part of the intrinsic structure of the algebra Λ. In this way we see that first order asymptotics of CUE correlators are native to the structure of Λ, independent of any reference to the JM-elements. These facts have been established using nothing other than the definition of the JM-elements and their remarkable symmetry properties. In order to give such an encoding of non-top class coefficients, which correspond to sub-leading asymptotics of CUE correlators, we are obliged to consider the spectral properties of JM-elements in irreducible representations of $S(n)$.

6.1 Eigenvalues of Jucys-Murphy elements

Let λ be a partition of size n, and let V^λ denote the irreducible $S(n)$-module labelled by λ, with χ_ν^λ the corresponding character evaluated on a permutation $\pi \in S(n)$ of (non-reduced) cycle type ν. We write $\dim \lambda = \chi_{(1^n)}^\lambda$ for the dimension of V^λ, and recall that $\dim \lambda$ is given by the hook-length formula [22]

$$\dim \lambda = \frac{n!}{H_\lambda}, \tag{6.1}$$

where H_λ is the product of the hook-lengths over the cells of λ, viewed as a Young diagram.

In Section 3 we reproduced Jucys' result that $f(\Xi_n) \in \mathcal{Z}(n)$ for any symmetric function $f \in \Lambda$. As a direct consequence of this fact (and Schur's lemma), $f(\Xi_n)$ acts in V^λ as a scalar operator. The eigenvalue of $f(\Xi_n)$ in V^λ was determined by Jucys [28], who obtained the following result.

Given a partition λ, viewed as a Young diagram, the *content* of a cell $\square \in \lambda$ is the column index of $\square$ less the row index of $\square$. Denote the content of a given cell by $c(\square)$, and let $A_\lambda = \{\{c(\square) : \square \in \lambda\}\}$ be the content alphabet of λ. Let $\{e_T\}$ be the Young-Gelfand-Zetlin basis of V^λ, labelled by the set of standard Young tableaux of shape T (see [43]). The following result is due to Jucys; a complete proof may be found in [40].

Theorem 6.1 ([28])**.** *For any $1 \leq k \leq n$ and any standard Young tableaux T of shape λ,*

$$J_k \cdot e_T = c_T(k) e_T$$

in V^λ, where $c_T(k)$ is the content of of the cell labelled k in T.

Theorem 6.1 says that the Young basis $\{e_T\}$ is a common eigenbasis for the JM-elements in the irrep V^λ, with corresponding eigenvalues determined by contents.

One corollary of Theorem 6.1 is Proposition 3.4, which gives the zeroes of the polynomial $\det \mathsf{G}(z)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.7, Theorem 6.1, and the isotypic decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[S(n)] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} (\dim \lambda) V^\lambda$$

of the group algebra. □

A second consequence of Theorem 6.1 is the eigenvalue of $f(\Xi_n)$ in V^λ.

Corollary 6.2 ([28])**.** *For any symmetric function $f \in \Lambda$, the eigenvalue of $f(\Xi_n)$ in V^λ is $f(A_\lambda)$.*

Corollary 6.2 and the expansion

$$\mathrm{id}_n = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{\dim \lambda}{n!} \chi^\lambda$$

together imply the character expansion

$$f(\Xi_n) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f(A_\lambda) \frac{(\dim \lambda)}{n!} \chi^\lambda \tag{6.2}$$

for any $f \in \Lambda$.

6.2 Application: Plancherel averages

In the next subsection, we will use the simultaneous diagonalization of JM-elements in irreducible representations to obtain the character expansion of permutation correlators. Before moving on to this, let us combine the spectral properties of JM-elements with the polynomiality property established in Theorem 4.4 to give a new proof of a recent result of Stanley [48] and Olshanski [44] on the polynomiality of certain Plancherel averages.

The *Plancherel measure* $\mathfrak{P}_n$ is a probability measure on the set of partitions $\{\lambda : |\lambda| = n\}$ of size n. It is defined on singletons by

$$\mathfrak{P}_n(\{\lambda\}) = \frac{(\dim \lambda)^2}{n!}. \tag{6.3}$$

Stanley [48] and Olshanski [44] have shown that for any symmetric function $f \in \Lambda$ the Plancherel average

$$\langle f(A_\lambda) \rangle = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} f(A_\lambda) \mathfrak{P}_n(\{\lambda\}) \tag{6.4}$$

is a polynomial function of n. This may be seen by setting $\mu = (0)$ in the following result.

Theorem 6.3. *Let μ be a partition. There exists a polynomial $K_\mu(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that*

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} f(A_\lambda) \chi^\lambda_\nu \frac{\dim \lambda}{n!} = K_\mu(n)$$

for all $n \geq \mathrm{wt}(\mu)$, where ν is the inflation of μ to a partition of size n.

Proof. This follows directly from Equation (6.2) together with Theorem 4.4 on the polynomiality of class coefficients. Alternatively, one could prove this result by a slight generalization of Olshanski's methods in [44]. □

6.3 Character expansion and exact formulas

Let μ be a partition, and consider the generating function

$$\Phi_\mu(z; n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} F^k_\mu(n) z^k. \tag{6.5}$$

Note that Theorem 3.8 then reads

$$\langle u_{11} \overline{u_{1\pi(1)}} \dots u_{nn} \overline{u_{n\pi(n)}} \rangle_N = N^{-n} \Phi_\mu(-\frac{1}{N}; n), \tag{6.6}$$

where $\pi \in S(n)$ is a permutation of reduced cycle-type μ.

Proposition 6.4. *Let μ be a partition. We have*

$$\Phi_\mu(z; n) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{\chi^\lambda_\nu}{H_\lambda \prod_{\square \in \lambda} (1 - c(\square) z)},$$

for all $n \geq \mathrm{wt}(\mu)$, where ν is the inflation of μ to a partition of size n.

Proof. Consider the generating function

$$\Phi(z;n) = \sum_{k\geq 0} h_k(\Xi_n) z^k$$

as a formal power series over the class algebra $\mathcal{Z}(n)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z;n) &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \frac{h_k(A_\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\chi^\lambda z^k, \text{ by (6.2) and the hook-length formula} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\chi^\lambda}{H_\lambda}\sum_{k\geq 0} h_k(A_\lambda) z^k \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\chi^\lambda}{H_\lambda\prod_{\square\in\lambda}(1-c(\square)z)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any particular partition $\nu\vdash n$ we have the generating function

$$\Phi_\mu(z;n) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\chi^\lambda_\nu}{H_\lambda\prod_{\square\in\lambda}(1-c(\square)z)}$$

as a formal power series over $\mathbb{C}$, where $\mu=\tilde{\nu}$ is the reduction of ν. □

Corollary 6.5. *For $N\geq n$ and $\pi\in S(n)$ a permutation of reduced cycle-type μ, we have*

$$\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{1\pi(1)}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n\pi(n)}}\rangle_N = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\chi^\lambda_\nu}{H_\lambda\prod_{\square\in\lambda}(N+c(\square))},$$

where ν is the inflation of μ to a partition of size n.

Note that Propostion 6.4 implies that the generating function $\Phi_\mu(z;n)$ is rational. It can be explicitly obtained in a couple of cases thanks to the following result of Diaconis and Greene [17].

A Young diagram λ is referred to as a *hook* if it is of the form $\lambda=(r,1^{n-r})$ for some $0\leq r\leq n$, where $n=|\lambda|$. The trivial and alternating representations $\lambda=(n)$ and $\lambda=(1^n)$ are both hooks, as is, for example, the partition $(4,1,1)$. We will refer to λ as an *almost-hook* if it is of the form $\lambda=(r,2,1^{n-r-2})$ for some $2\leq r\leq n-2$. An example of an almost-hook diagram is the partition $(5,2,1,1,)$. The following lemma was proved by Diaconis and Greene [17] using properties of the JM-elements (note that Part 1 of the Lemma is classically known).

Lemma 6.6 ([17])**.** *Consider the characters of the symmetric group $S(n)$.*

1. *The character value $\chi^\lambda_{(n)}$ on an n-cycle is 0 unless λ is a hook representation. In this case we have*
$$\chi^{(r,1^{n-r})}_{(n)} = (-1)^{n-r}.$$

2. *The character value* $\chi^{\lambda}_{(n-1,1)}$ *on a permutation consisting of an* $(n-1)$*-cycle and a single fixed point is* 0 *unless* λ *is the trivial representation* (n)*, the alternating representation* (1^n)*, or an almost-hook representation. In the latter case, we have*

$$\chi^{(r,2,1^{n-r-2})}_{(n-1,1)} = (-1)^{n-r-1}.$$

Using Proposition 6.4 and the first part of Lemma 6.6, the generating function $\Phi_{(n-1)}(z;n)$ can be obtained explicitly.

Theorem 6.7. *For any* $n \geq 2$ *we have*

$$\Phi_{(n-1)}(z;n) = \frac{\mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}\, z^{n-1}}{(1-1^2z^2)\dots(1-(n-1)^2z^2)}.$$

Thus we have the following exact formula for cyclic permutation correlators:

$$\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{12}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n1}}\rangle_N = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\,\mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}}{N(N^2-1^2)\dots(N^2-(n-1)^2)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.4, the generating function in question is given by the character sum

$$\Phi_{(n-1)}(z;n) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \frac{\chi^{\lambda}_{(n)}}{H_\lambda \prod_{\square\in\lambda}(1-c(\square)z)}.$$

Note that the content alphabet of a hook partition is

$$A_{(r,1^{n-r})} = \{-(n-r-1),\dots,-1,0,1,\dots,r-1\}.$$

Applying Part 1 of Lemma 6.6, we have

$$\Phi_{(n-1)}(z;n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-r}}{H_{(r,1^{n-r})}\prod_{i=1}^{n-r-1}(1+iz)\prod_{j=1}^{r-1}(1-jz)},$$

which as an irreducible rational function has the form

$$\frac{A_n(z)}{\prod_{r=1}^{n-1}(1-r^2z^2)},$$

with $A_n(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_{n-1}z^{n-1}$ a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. On the other hand, we have shown in Section 4 that $F^k_{(n-1)}(n)$ is non-zero only for k of the form $k = n-1+2g$ for some $g \geq 0$, and in Section 5 that $F^{n-1}_{(n-1)}(n) = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}$. Thus

$$\frac{A_n(z)}{\prod_{r=1}^{n-1}(1-r^2z^2)} = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}\, z^{n-1} + F^{n+1}_{(n-1)}(n)z^{n+1} + \dots,$$

so that comparing coefficients we have $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 0, \dots, a_{n-1} = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}$.

□

Remark 6.1. The above exact expression for cyclic permutation correlators was first noticed by B. Collins in [11].

The numbers $T(m,n)$ defined by the family of generating functions

$$\frac{z^n}{(1-1^2z)\dots(1-n^2z)} = \sum_{m\geq 0} T(m,n)z^m = \sum_{g\geq 0} T(n+g,n)z^{n+g}$$

are known as *central factorial numbers*. Evidently,

$$T(n+g,n) = h_g(1^2,\dots,n^2),$$

where h_g is the complete symmetric function of degree g. Central factorial numbers have the following combinatorial interpretation: $T(m,n)$ counts the number of partitions of a set

$$\{1,1',\dots,m,m'\}$$

of m unmarked and m marked points into n blocks such that, for each block B, if i is the least integer such that either $i \in B$ or $i' \in B$, then $\{i,i'\} \subseteq B$.

Example 6.1. $T(3,2) = 5$, corresponding to the partitions

$$\begin{aligned}\{1,1',2,2',3,3'\} &= \{1,1',2,2'\} \sqcup \{3,3'\}\\ &= \{1,1',3,3'\} \sqcup \{2,2'\}\\ &= \{2,2',3,3'\} \sqcup \{1,1'\}\\ &= \{1,1',3\} \sqcup \{2,2',3'\}\\ &= \{1,1',3'\} \sqcup \{2,2',3\}.\end{aligned}$$

Central factorial numbers were studied classically by Riordan and Carlitz, see [49, Exercise 5.8] for references. They satisfy the recurrence

$$T(m,n) = n^2T(m-1,n) + T(m-1,n-1)$$

with initial conditions $T(m,0) = T(0,n) = 0, T(1,1) = 1$, and are given explicitly by

$$T(m,n) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j^{2m}(-1)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!(n+j)!}.$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6.7 is the following surprisingly simple formula for the multiplicity of the class $\mathfrak{c}_{(n-1)}(n)$ of full cycles in $h_k(\Xi_n)$.

Corollary 6.8. *For any $n \geq 1$ and $g \geq 0$, the coefficient $F^{n-1+2g}_{(n-1)}(n)$ is given by*

$$F^{n-1+2g}_{(n-1)}(n) = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}\, T(n-1+g,n-1).$$

Thus the cyclic permutation correlator has the following $1/N$ expansion:

$$\langle u_{11}\overline{u_{12}}\dots u_{nn}\overline{u_{n1}}\rangle_N = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}\sum_{g\geq 0}\frac{T(n-1+g,n-1)}{N^{2g}}.$$

Remark 6.2. It would be interesting to give a combinatorial proof of Corollary 6.8, perhaps by setting up a bijection between length $n-1+2g$ Jucys-Murphy factorizations of the n-cycle and the Cartesian product $\mathfrak{E}(n-1) \times CF(n-1+g, n-1)$, where the latter set consists of those partitions counted by the central factorial number $T(n-1+g, n-1)$. Alternatively, the correct target for such a bijection might be $NC(n-1) \times CF(n-1+g, n-1)$.

Central factorial numbers are closely related to *Stirling numbers of the second kind*, which may be defined via the generating function

$$\frac{z^n}{(1-z)\dots(1-nz)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} S(m,n) z^m = \sum_{g \geq 0} S(n+g,n) z^{n+g}.$$

Thus

$$S(n+g,n) = h_g(1,\dots,n).$$

$S(m,n)$ counts the number of partitions of $\{1,\dots,m\}$ into n blocks, without restrictions. The Stirling numbers satisfy the recurrence

$$S(m,n) = nS(m-1,n) + S(m-1,n-1)$$

and are given exactly by

$$S(m,n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j^{m-1}(-1)^{n-j}}{(j-1)!(n-j)!}. \tag{6.7}$$

The generating function

$$\Phi_{(n-2)}(z;n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} F^k_{(n-2)}(n) z^k$$

for the multiplicity of the class $\mathfrak{c}_{(n-2)}(n)$ of $(n-1)$-cycles can be described, via the second part of Lemma 6.6, in terms of Stirling and central factorial numbers.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $n \geq 4$, and let r be the residue of n modulo 2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{(n-2)}(z;n) = &\frac{(-1)^r}{n!(1+z)\dots(1+(n-1)z)} + \frac{1}{n!(1-z)\dots(1-(n-1)z)} \\ &+ \frac{a_r z^r + a_{r+2} z^{r+2} + \dots + a_{n-4} z^{n-4}}{(1-z^2)\dots(1-(n-3)^2 z^2)},\end{aligned}$$

where $a_r, \dots, a_{n-4}$ is the unique solution to the following triangular system of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ T(n-2,n-3) & 1 & & & \\ T(n-1,n-3) & T(n-2,n-3) & 1 & & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_r \\ a_{r+2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-4} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-2}{n!} \begin{bmatrix} S(n-1+r,n-1) \\ S(n+1+r,n-1) \\ S(n+3+r,n-1) \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 6.2. Suppose $n = 6$. Then according to Proposition 6.9, we have

$$\Phi_{(4)}(z;6) = \frac{1}{6!(1+z)\dots(1+5z)} + \frac{1}{6!(1-z)\dots(1-5z)} + \frac{a_0 + a_2 z^2}{(1-z^2)(1-4z^2)(1-9z^2)},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T(4,3) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-2}{6!} \begin{bmatrix} S(5,5) \\ S(7,5) \end{bmatrix}.$$

One computes $T(4,3) = 3^2 T(3,3) + T(3,2) = 9 + 5 = 14$, and similarly $S(7,5) = 140$. Thus we obtain

$$a_0 = -\frac{1}{360}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{7}{20},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{(4)}(z;6) &= \frac{1}{6!(1+z)\dots(1+5z)} + \frac{1}{6!(1-z)\dots(1-5z)} - \frac{\frac{1}{360} + \frac{7}{20}z^2}{(1-z^2)(1-4z^2)(1-9z^2)} \\ &= \frac{14z^4(1-10z^2)}{(1-z^2)(1-4z^2)(1-9z^2)(1-16z^2)(1-25z^2)} \\ &= 14z^4 + 630z^6 + 20328z^8 + 580580z^{10} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In terms of permutation correlators, this corresponds to the evaluation

$$\langle (u_{11}\overline{u_{12}}u_{22}\overline{u_{23}}u_{33}\overline{u_{34}}u_{44}\overline{u_{45}}u_{55}\overline{u_{51}})(u_{66}\overline{u_{66}})\rangle_N = \frac{14(N^2-10)}{N^2(N^2-1)(N^2-4)(N^2-9)(N^2-16)(N^2-25)},$$

which is one of the rational functions obtained by Samuel [46] and Collins [10] using character tables.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.7, and we give only a sketch.

The content alphabet of the alternating representation is

$$A_{(1^n)} = \{-(n-1), \dots, -1\}$$

while the content alphabet of the trivial representation is

$$A_{(n)} = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The content alphabet of an almost-hook is

$$A_{(r,2,1^{n-r-2})} = \{-(n-r-1), \dots, -1, 1, \dots, (r-1)\}.$$

By Part 2 of Lemma 6.6, we thus have

$$\Phi_{(n-2)}(z;n) = \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^n}{n!\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+iz)}}_{\text{alt.}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n!\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(1-jz)}}_{\text{triv.}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{H_{(r,1^{n-k-1})}\prod_{i=1}^{n-r-1}(1+iz)\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}(1-jz)}}_{\text{almost-hooks}}.$$

The terms corresponding to the alternating and trivial representations expand as

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{n!\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+iz)}+\frac{1}{n!\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(1-jz)}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{1}{n!}(S(n+k,n)+(-1)^{n-r}S(n+k,n))z^k,$$

while as an irreducible rational function the contribution from the almost-hooks is of the form

$$\frac{B_n(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3}(1-i^2z^2)}$$

for some polynomial $B_n(z)=b_0+b_1z+\cdots+b_{n-2}z^{n-2}$ of degree at most $n-2$. This rational function expands as

$$\frac{B_n(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3}(1-i^2z^2)}=\sum_{k\geq 0}\Bigg(\sum_{j+2g=k}a_jT(n-3+g,n-3)\Bigg)z^k,$$

and thus

$$\sum_{j+2g=k}a_jT(n-3+g,n-3)=F^k_{(n-2)}(n)-\frac{1}{n!}(S(n+k,n)+(-1)^{n-k}S(n+k,n))$$

for $k\geq 0$. This expression together with the fact that $F^k_{n-2}(n)=0$ for $k<n-2$ and $F^{n-2}_{(n-2)}=\mathrm{Cat}_{n-2}$ leads to the stated triangular system of equations, half of which are redundant. One moreover observes using induction and the recursive formulae for $S(m,n)$ and $T(m,n)$ that $a_{n-2}=0$ and thus the last equation may also be excluded. □

6.4 The algebra Λ^*

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the algebra of all complex-valued functions $f(\lambda)$ on partitions. Consider functions $\hat{p}_0,\hat{p}_1,\hat{p}_2,\cdots\in\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$\hat{p}_k(\lambda):=\sum_{\square\in\lambda}c(\square)^k \tag{6.8}$$

for $k\geq 0$. Note that $\hat{p}_0(\lambda)=|\lambda|$, while $\hat{p}_k(\lambda)=p_k(A_\lambda)$ for $k\geq 1$, where $p_k\in\Lambda$ is the usual power-sum symmetric function. The elements $\hat{p}_k$ are algebraically independent and generate a subalgebra Λ^* of $\mathcal{F}$ known as the algebra of *shifted symmetric functions* [29, 41]. The reason for the name is that Λ^* may equivalently be defined as the algebra generated by the "shifted power-sums"

$$p^*_k(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)}[(\lambda_i-i)^k-(-i)^k],$$

$k=1,2,\ldots$, see [19, 30, 41, 42, 44].

Let μ be a partition, and define $\hat{\omega}_\mu\in\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\hat{\omega}_\mu(\lambda):=\begin{cases}|C_\mu(n)|\frac{\chi^\lambda_\nu}{\dim\lambda}, & \text{if } n:=|\lambda|\geq \mathrm{wt}(\mu)\\ 0, & \text{if } n<\mathrm{wt}(\mu)\end{cases}, \tag{6.9}$$

where in the first case ν denotes the inflation of μ to a partition of size n. The significance of this definition is that $\hat{\omega}_\mu(\lambda)$ is precisely the eigenvalue of the conjugacy class indicator $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(n)$ acting in the irreducible representation V^λ of $S(n)$. $\hat{\omega}_\mu(\lambda)$ is known as the *central character* of λ at μ. As the notation is meant to suggest, $\hat{\omega}_\mu$ is an element of Λ^*, i.e. it is a polynomial in the $\hat{p}_k$'s. This is a fundamental result of Kerov and Olshanski [29].

Theorem 6.10 ([29])**.** *For any partition μ, the function $\hat{\omega}_\mu$ is an element of Λ^*. Moreover, $\{\hat{\omega}_\mu\}$ forms a basis of Λ^* as μ ranges over the set of partitions.*

Since the $\hat{p}_k$'s are algebraically independent generators of Λ^* and the usual power sums are algebraically independent generators of Λ, we have an isomorphism $\Lambda^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, \dots]$ defined by $\hat{p}_0 \mapsto t$ and $\hat{p}_k \mapsto p_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where t is an indeterminate independent of the underlying variables x_i. Denote by ω_μ the image of $\hat{\omega}_\mu$ under this isomorphism. Then $\{\omega_\mu\}$ is an inhomogeneous basis of $\mathbb{C}[t, p_1, p_2, \dots]$, which was called the basis of *class symmetric functions* in [14]. Indeed, in light of Jucys' result (labelled Corollary 6.2 above), an equivalent statement of Theorem 6.10 is that

$$\omega_\mu(\Xi_n) = \mathfrak{c}_\mu(n) \tag{6.10}$$

for any $n \geq 1$, where it is understood that we also set $t = n$ as well as $x_i = J_i$ in (6.10). Consequently, we have the following reformulation of the class expansion problem in terms of Λ^*.

Proposition 6.11 ([31])**.** *For any $f \in \Lambda$*

$$f = \sum_\mu G_\mu(f, t)\omega_\mu.$$

Proposition 6.11 extends Theorem 5.12 in the sense that the former gives an encoding of all class coefficients, whereas the latter only encodes top class coefficients. In particular, one has

$$m_\lambda = \sum_\mu L_\mu^\lambda(t)\omega_\mu$$

and

$$h_k = \sum_\mu F_\mu^k(t)\omega_\mu.$$

Thus, for any $k \geq 1$, the kth order asymptotics of the CUE correlation functions (1.2) are encoded in the structure of the algebra of shifted symmetric functions.

Remark 6.3. It seems difficult to give the polynomials $L_\mu^\lambda(t)$ and $F_\mu^k(t)$ explicitly. However, in [23], Fuji et. al. obtain an explicit expression for $L_{(0)}^{(2r)}(t)$:

$$L_{(0)}^{(2r)}(t) = G_{(0)}(p_{2r}, t) = \sum_{p=1}^{r} \frac{(2p)!}{((p+1)!)^2} T(r, p)t(t-1)\cdots(t-p),$$

where $T(r, p)$ is once again a central factorial number. Note that $L_{(0)}^{(2r-1)}(t) = 0$.

7 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge numerous helpful and inspiring conversations with Benoit Collins, as well as very helpful correspondence with Michel Lassalle. Parts of this work grew out of the Ph. D. thesis of the second author, and J. N. would like to acknowledge the guidance and support of his thesis supervisor Roland Speicher during this period and afterward.

8 Appendix A: Examples

8.1 A.1: Class expansion of $m_\lambda(\Xi_n)$ for $|\lambda| \leq 4$.

$|\lambda| = 1$

$$m_{(1)}(\Xi_n) = \mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n).$$

$|\lambda| = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(2)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n). \\ m_{(1^2)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n). \\ h_2(\Xi_n) =& 2\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n). \end{aligned}$$

$|\lambda| = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(3)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(3)}(n) + (2n-3)\mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n). \\ m_{(2,1)}(\Xi_n) =& 3\mathfrak{c}_{(3)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2,1)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n-2)(n+1)\mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n). \\ m_{(1^3)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(3)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2,1)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^3)}(n). \\ h_3(\Xi_n) =& 5\mathfrak{c}_{(3)}(n) + 2\mathfrak{c}_{(2,1)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^3)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2+3n-8)\mathfrak{c}_{(1)}(n). \end{aligned}$$

$|\lambda| = 4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{(4)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + (3n-4)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + 4\mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n) + \frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(4n-5)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n).\\
m_{(3,1)}(\Xi_n) =& 4\mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(3,1)}(n) + 2(3n-7)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + 2(2n-3)\mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n)\\
&+ \frac{1}{3}n(n-1)(n-2)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n).\\
m_{(2^2)}(\Xi_n) =& 2\mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2^2)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2-n-4)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + 2\mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n)\\
&+ \frac{1}{24}n(n-1)(n-2)(3n-1)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n).\\
m_{(2,1^2)}(\Xi_n) =& 6\mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + 3\mathfrak{c}_{(3,1)}(n) + 2\mathfrak{c}_{(2^2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2,1^2)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n-3)(n+2)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n)\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(n^2-n-4)\mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n).\\
m_{(1^4)}(\Xi_n) =& \mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(3,1)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2^2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(2,1^2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^4)}(n).\\
h_4(\Xi_n) =& 14\mathfrak{c}_{(4)}(n) + 5\mathfrak{c}_{(3,1)}(n) + 4\mathfrak{c}_{(2^2)}(n) + 2\mathfrak{c}_{(2,1^2)}(n) + \mathfrak{c}_{(1^4)}(n)\\
&+ (n^2+8n-23)\mathfrak{c}_{(2)}(n) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2+7n-4)\mathfrak{c}_{(1^2)}(n)\\
&+ \frac{1}{24}n(n-1)(3n^2+17n-34)\mathfrak{c}_{(0)}(n).
\end{aligned}
$$

8.2 A.2: Tables of L_μ^λ

We now give tables of L_μ^λ, which can be compared with the class expansions in Appendix A.1. The row labelled "SUM" stands for $\sum_{\lambda\vdash k} L_\mu^\lambda$ for each column associated with μ, which equals Cat_μ by Theorem 5.4.

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	2	1^2
2	1	
1^2	1	1
SUM	2	1

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	3	21	1^3
3	1		
21	3	1	
1^3	1	1	1
SUM	5	2	1

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	4	31	2^2	21^2	1^4
4	1				
31	4	1			
2^2	2	0	1		
21^2	6	3	2	1	
1^4	1	1	1	1	1
SUM	14	5	4	2	1

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	5	41	32	31^2	2^21	21^3	1^5
5	1						
41	5	1					
32	5	0	1				
31^2	10	4	1	1			
2^21	10	2	3	0	1		
21^3	10	6	4	3	2	1	
1^5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
SUM	42	14	10	5	4	2	1

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	6	51	42	41^2	3^2	321	31^3	2^3	2^21^2	21^4	1^6
6	1										
51	6	1									
42	6	0	1								
41^2	15	5	1	1							
3^2	3	0	0	0	1						
321	30	5	4	0	6	1					
31^3	20	10	4	4	2	1	1				
2^3	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	1			
2^21^2	30	10	8	2	9	3	0	3	1		
21^4	15	10	7	6	6	4	3	3	2	1	
1^6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
SUM	132	42	28	14	25	10	5	8	4	2	1

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	7	61	52	51^2	43	421	41^3	3^21	32^2	321^2	31^4	2^31	2^21^3	21^5	1^7
7	1														
61	7	1													
52	7	0	1												
51^2	21	6	1	1											
43	7	0	0	0	1										
421	42	6	5	0	3	1									
41^3	35	15	5	5	1	1	1								
3^21	21	3	0	0	4	0	0	1							
32^2	21	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	1						
321^2	105	30	15	5	18	4	0	6	2	1					
31^4	35	20	10	10	5	4	4	2	1	1	1				
2^31	35	5	10	0	6	2	0	0	3	0	0	1			
2^21^3	70	30	20	10	20	8	2	9	7	3	0	3	1		
21^5	21	15	11	10	9	7	6	6	5	4	3	3	2	1	
1^7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
SUM	429	132	84	42	70	28	14	25	20	10	5	8	4	2	1

References

[1] J. Baik and E. M. Rains, *Algebraic aspects of increasing subsequences,* Duke Math. J., **109**(1), 2001, 1-65.

[2] T. Banica and B. Collins, *Integration over compact quantum groups*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43**, 2007, 277–302.

[3] T. Banica and B. Collins, *Integration over quantum permutation groups,* J. Funct. Anal. **242**, 2007, 641–657.

[4] T. Banica, S. Curran, R. Speicher, *De Finetti theorems for easy quantum groups*, preprint, 2009.

[5] T. Banica, S. Curran, R. Speicher, *Stochastic aspects of easy quantum groups*, preprint, 2009.

[6] T. Banica and R. Speicher, *Liberation of orthogonal Lie groups*, Adv. Math., **222**, 2009, 1461-1501.

[7] C. W. J. Beenakker and P. W. Brouwer, *Diagrammatic method of integration over the unitary group, with applications to quantum transport in mesoscopic systems,* J. Math. Phys., **37**(10), 1996, 4904–4934.

[8] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups,* Ann. of Math., **38**, 1937, 857-872.

[9] Q. Chen, J. H. Przytycki, *The Gram determinant of the type B Temperley-Lieb algebra*, Adv. Appl. Math., **43**, 2009, 156-161.

[10] B. Collins, *Intégrales matricielles et probabilités non-commutatives*, thèse de doctorat de l'Université Paris 6, 2003.

[11] B. Collins, *Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the Itzykson-Zuber integral, and free probability,* Int. Math. Res. Not., **17**, 2003, 953–982.

[12] B. Collins and S. Matsumoto, *On some properties of orthogonal Weingarten functions*, J. Math. Phys. (to appear).

[13] B. Collins and P. Śniady, *Integration with respect to the Haar measure on unitary, orthogonal and symplectic group,* Comm. Math. Phys., **264**(3), 2006, 773–795.

[14] S. Corteel, A. Goupil, G. Schaeffer, *Content evaluation and class symmetric functions,* Adv. Math., **188**, 2004, 315-356.

[15] M. Creutz, *On invariant integration over $SU(N)$,* J. Math. Phys., **19**(10), 1978, 2043-2046.

[16] B. De Wit and G. 't Hooft, *Nonconvergence of the $1/N$ expansion for $SU(N)$ gauge fields on a lattice,* Phys. Lett. B, **69**(1), 1977, 61-64.

[17] P. Diaconis and C. Greene, *Applications of Murphy's elements,* Stanford University Technical Report, no.335, 1989, 1-22.

[18] F. J. Dyson, *Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex sysetems. I.*, J. Math. Phys., **3**(1), 1961, 140-156.

[19] A. Eskin and A. Okounkov, *Asymptotics of numbers of branched coverings of a torus and volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials*, Invent. Math., **145**, 2001, 59-103.

[20] H. K. Farahat and G. Higman, *The centres of symmetric group rings,* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., **250**, 1959, 212–221.

[21] P. J. Forrester, *Log-gases and random matrices*, book manuscript, version of August 2009.

[22] J. S. Frame, G. de B. Robinson, R. M. Thrall, *The hook graph of S_n*, Canad. J. Math., **6**, 1954, 316-324.

[23] S. Fujii, H. Kanno, S. Moriyama, and S. Okada, *Instanton calculus and chiral one-point functions in supersymmetric gauge theories,* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **12**(6), 2008, 1401–1428.

[24] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, *Symmetric functions and Macdonald's result for top connexion coefficients in the symmetric group,* J. Algebra, **166**, 1994, 364-378.

[25] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, *Transitive powers of Young-Jucys-Murphy elements are central,* J. Algebra, **321**, 2009, 1826-1835.

[26] M. Haiman, *Conjectures on the quotient ring by diagonal invariants,* J. Algebraic Combin., **3**, 1994, 17–76.

[27] J. Harer, D. Zagier, *The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves,* Invent. Math., **85**, 1986, 457-485.

[28] A. Jucys, *Symmetric polynomials and the center of the symmetric group ring,* Rep. Math. Phys., **5**, 1974, 107–112.

[29] S. V. Kerov and G. I. Olshanski, *Polynomial functions on the set of Young diagrams,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **319**, 1994, 121-126.

[30] M. Lassalle, *An explicit formula for the characters of the symmetric group,* Math. Ann., **340**, 2008, 383-405.

[31] M. Lassalle, *Class expansion of some symmetric functions of Jucys-Murphy elements,* in preparation.

[32] A. Lascoux and J.-Y. Thibon, *Vertex operators and the class algebras of symmetric groups,* J. Math. Sci., **121**(3), 2004, 2380–2392.

[33] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials,* second ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.

[34] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, third ed., Elsevier, 2004.

[35] A. Morozov, *Unitary integrals and related matrix models*, to appear in The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory.

[36] G. E. Murphy, *A new construction of Young's seminormal representation of the symmetric groups,* J. Algebra, **69**(2), 1981, 287–297.

[37] J. Murray, *Generators for the centre of the group algebra of a symmetric group,* J. Algebra, **271**(2), 2004, 725–748.

[38] A. Nica and R. Speicher, *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 335, Cambride University Press, 2006.

[39] J. Novak, *Jucys-Murphy elements and the Weingarten function*, Banach Center Publ., to appear.

[40] A. Okounkov, *Young basis, Wick formula, and higher Capelli identities,* Int. Math. Res. Not., **17**, 1996, 817-839.

[41] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, *Shifted Schur functions*, St. Petersburg Math. J., **9**, 1998, 239-300.

[42] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles*, Ann. of Math., **163**, 2006, 517-560.

[43] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups,* Selecta Math. (N.S.), **2**(4), 1996, 581–605.

[44] G. Olshanski, *Plancherel averages: remarks on a paper by Stanley,* arXiv:0905.1304v1.

[45] C. E. Porter and N. Ullah, *Expectation value fluctuations in the unitary ensemble*, Phys. Rev. **132**, 1963, 948-950.

[46] S. Samuel, $\mathcal{U}(N)$ *integrals,* $1/N$, *and the De Wit-'t Hooft anomalies,* J. Math. Phys., **21**(12), 1980, 2695–2703.

[47] R. P. Stanley, *Parking functions and noncrossing partitions,* Electron. J. Combin., **4**(2), 1997, R20.

[48] R. P. Stanley, *Some combinatorial properties of hook-lengths, contents, and parts of partitions,* Ramanujan J., to appear.

[49] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics, Vol. 1 & 2,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49 & 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997 & 1999.

[50] R. P. Stanley, *Catalan addendum*, version of August 11, 2009, available at http://math.mit.edu/∼rstan/ec/

[51] J. Tysse and W. Wang, *The centers of spin symmetric group algebras and Catalan numbers,* J. Algebraic Combin., **29**, 2009, 175-193.

[52] D. Weingarten, *Asymptotic behavior of group integrals in the limit of infinite rank,* J. Math. Phys., **19**(5), 1978, 999–1001.

[53] S. L. Woronowicz, *Tannaka-Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted* $SU(N)$ *groups.,* Invent. Math., **93**, 1988, 35-76.

[54] F. Xu, *A random matrix model from two dimensional Yang-Mills theory,* Comm. Math. Phys., **190**, 1997, 287-307.

[55] A. Zvonkin, *Matrix integrals and map enumeration: an accessible introduction*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **26**(8-10), 1997, 281-304.